

# FAO SPECIFICATIONS AND EVALUATIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL PESTICIDES

# **BIFENTHRIN**

(2-methylbiphenyl-3-yl)methyl (1*RS*,3*RS*)-3-[(*Z*)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-enyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

2024

# TABLE OF CONTENTS BIFENTHRIN

DISCLAIMER	Page
INTRODUCTION	
PART ONE	_
SPECIFICATIONS FOR BIFENTHRIN	_
INFORMATION	
BIFENTHRIN TECHNICAL MATERIAL	
BIFENTHRIN WETTABLE POWDER	8
PART TWO	
EVALUATION REPORTS	11
2024 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2023	
SUPPORTING INFORMATION	14
ANNEX 1: HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER	15
ANNEX 2: REFERENCES	16
2021 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2021	17
SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR EVALUATION REPORT 415/2021	19
ANNEX 1: HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER	20
ANNEX 2: REFERENCES	21
2016 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2016	22
SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR EVALUATION REPORT 415/2016	24
ANNEX 1: HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER	25
ANNEX 2: REFERENCES	26
2014 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2014	28
SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR EVALUATION REPORT 415/2014	30
ANNEX 1: HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER	31
ANNEX 2: REFERENCES	32
2010 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2010	33
2009 FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2009	34
SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR EVALUATION REPORT 415/2009	38
ANNEX1:HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER	42
ANNEX 2: REFERENCES	58

#### DISCLAIMER1

FAO specifications are developed with the basic objective of promoting, as far as practicable, the manufacture, distribution and use of pesticides that meet basic quality requirements.

Compliance with the specifications does not constitute an endorsement or warranty of the fitness of a particular pesticide for a particular purpose, including its suitability for the control of any given pest, or its suitability for use in a particular area. Owing to the complexity of the problems involved, the suitability of pesticides for a particular purpose and the content of the labelling instructions must be decided at the national or provincial level.

Furthermore, pesticides which are manufactured to comply with these specifications are not exempted from any safety regulation or other legal or administrative provision applicable to their manufacture, sale, transportation, storage, handling, preparation and/or use.

FAO disclaims any and all liability for any injury, death, loss, damage or other prejudice of any kind that may arise as a result of, or in connection with, the manufacture, sale, transportation, storage, handling, preparation and/or use of pesticides which are found, or are claimed, to have been manufactured to comply with these specifications.

Additionally, FAO wishes to alert users to the fact that improper storage, handling, preparation and/or use of pesticides can result in either a lowering or complete loss of safety and/or efficacy.

FAO is not responsible, and does not accept any liability, for the testing of pesticides for compliance with the specifications, nor for any methods recommended and/or used for testing compliance. As a result, FAO does not in any way warrant or represent that any pesticide claimed to comply with a FAO specification actually does so.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This disclaimer applies to all specifications published by FAO.

#### INTRODUCTION

FAO establishes and publishes specifications\* for technical material and related formulations of agricultural pesticides, with the objective that these specifications may be used to provide an international point of reference against which products can be judged either for regulatory purposes or in commercial dealings.

From 1999 onward, the development of FAO specifications follows the New Procedure, described first in the fifth edition of the "Manual on the development and use of FAO specifications for plant protection products" and later in the first edition of the "Manual on Development and Use of FAO and WHO Specifications for Pesticides" (2002) – currently available as "Manual on the development and use of FAO and WHO specifications for chemical pesticides" second edition (2022) – which is available only on the internet through the FAO and WHO web sites.

This New Procedure follows a formal and transparent evaluation process. It describes the minimum data package, the procedure and evaluation applied by FAO and the experts of the FAO/WHO Joint Meeting on Pesticide Specifications (JMPS). [Note: prior to 2002, the experts were of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Specifications, Registration Requirements, Application Standards and Prior Informed Consent, which now forms part of the JMPM, rather than the JMPS.]

FAO Specifications now only apply to products for which the technical materials have been evaluated. Consequently from the year 2000 onwards the publication of FAO specifications under the New Procedure has changed. Every specification consists now of two parts namely the specifications and the evaluation report(s):

Part One: <u>The Specification</u> of the technical material and the related formulations of the pesticide in accordance with chapters 4 to 9 of the "Manual on the development and use of FAO and WHO specifications for chemical pesticides".

Part Two: The Evaluation Report(s) of the pesticide, reflecting the evaluation of the data package carried out by FAO and the JMPS. The data are provided by the manufacturer(s) according to the requirements of chapter 3 of the "Manual on the development and use of FAO and WHO specifications for chemical pesticides" and supported by other information sources. The Evaluation Report includes the name(s) of the manufacturer(s) whose technical material has been evaluated. Evaluation reports on specifications developed subsequently to the original set of specifications are added in a chronological order to this report.

FAO specifications developed under the New Procedure do not necessarily apply to nominally similar products of other manufacturer(s), nor to those where the active ingredient is produced by other routes of manufacture. FAO has the possibility to extend the scope of the specifications to similar products but only when the JMPS has been satisfied that the additional products are equivalent to that which formed the basis of the reference specification.

Specifications bear the date (month and year) of publication of the current version. Evaluations bear the date (year) of the meeting at which the recommendations were made by the JMPS.

<sup>\*</sup> Publications are available on the internet at (<a href="https://www.fao.org/pest-and-pesticide-management/guidelines-standards/faowho-joint-meeting-on-pesticide-specifications-jmps/pesticide-specifications/pesticide-specifications-list/en/">https://www.fao.org/pest-and-pesticide-management/guidelines-standards/faowho-joint-meeting-on-pesticide-specifications-jmps/pesticide-specifications/pesticide-specifications-list/en/</a>) or in hardcopy from the plant protection information officer.

# PART ONE

# **SPECIFICATIONS**

# **BIFENTHRIN**

	Page
BIFENTHRIN INFORMATION	6
BIFENTHRIN TECHNICAL MATERIAL (MARCH 2024)	7
BIFENTHRIN WETTABLE POWDER (FEBRUARY 2022)	8

#### **INFORMATION**

ISO common name

bifenthrin (ISO 1750 (published))

Chemical name(s)

IUPAC: (2-methylbiphenyl-3-yl)methyl (1RS,3RS)-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-

trifluoroprop-1-enyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

CAS: (2-methyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)methyl (1R,3R)-rel-3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-

trifluoro-1-propen-1-yl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

Synonyms

FMC 54800

Structural formulae

$$H_3C$$
 $H_3C$ 
 $H_3C$ 

$$H_3C$$
 $H_3C$ 
 $H_3C$ 
 $H_3C$ 
 $(Z)$ -(1S)-cis

Molecular formula

 $C_{23}H_{22}CIF_3O_2$ 

Molar mass

423.0 g/mol

CAS Registry number

82657-04-3

CIPAC number

415

Identity tests

GC relative retention time, IR spectrum, electron ionization mass spectrum (from GC-MS), <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum

#### **BIFENTHRIN TECHNICAL MATERIAL**

#### FAO Specification 415/TC (March 2024\*)

This specification, which is PART ONE of this publication, is based on an evaluation of data submitted by the manufacturers whose names are listed in the evaluation reports (415/2009, 415/2010, 415/2014, 415/2016, 415/2021 & 415/2023). It should be applicable to TC produced by these manufacturers but it is not an endorsement of those products, nor a guarantee that they comply with the specification. The specification may not be appropriate for TC produced by other manufacturers. The evaluation reports (415/2009, 415/2010, 415/2014, 415/2016, 415/2021, & 415/2023), as PART TWO, form an integral part of this publication.

#### 1 Description

The material shall consist of bifenthrin, together with related manufacturing impurities, in the form of a light brown to amber viscous liquid, crystalline solid, or waxy solid with a faint, slightly sweet odour free from visible extraneous matter and added modifying agents.

#### 2 Active Ingredient

**2.1 Identity test** (AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Bifenthrin Analysis in Technical Material and Formulations by Capillary Gas Chromatography, Note 1)

The active ingredient shall comply with an identity test and, where the identity remains in doubt, shall comply with at least one additional test.

**2.2 Bifenthrin content** (AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Bifenthrin Analysis in Technical Material and Formulations by Capillary Gas Chromatography, Note 1)

The bifenthrin content shall be declared (not less than 930 g/kg) and, when determined, the mean measured content shall not be lower than the declared minimum content.

Note 1 Gas Chromatographic Determination of Bifenthrin in Technical and Selected Formulated Products: Collaborative Study. Edward J. Kikta et al, Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume: 94, Issue: 2 (2011), pages 453 to 458 or through the AOAC International Official Method of Analysis website (www.aoac.org).

<sup>\*</sup> Specifications may be revised and/or additional evaluations may be undertaken. Ensure the use of current versions by checking at: <a href="https://www.fao.org/pest-and-pesticide-management/guidelines-standards/faowhojoint-meeting-on-pesticide-specifications-jmps/pesticide-specifications/en/">https://www.fao.org/pest-and-pesticide-management/guidelines-standards/faowhojoint-meeting-on-pesticide-specifications-jmps/pesticide-specifications/en/</a>

#### **BIFENTHRIN WETTABLE POWDER**

#### FAO Specification 415/WP (February 2022\*)

This specification, which is PART ONE of this publication, is based on an evaluation of data submitted by the manufacturer whose names is listed in the evaluation reports (415/2009 and 415/2010). It should be applicable to relevant products produced by this manufacturer but it is not an endorsement of those products, nor a guarantee that they comply with the specification. The specification may not be appropriate for the products of other manufacturers. The evaluation reports (415/2009 and 415/2010), as PART TWO, form an integral part of this publication.

#### 1 Description

The material shall consist of a homogeneous mixture of technical bifenthrin, complying with the requirements of FAO specification 415/TC (March 2024), in the form of an off-white to tan powder, together with fillers and any other necessary formulants. It shall be in the form of a fine powder free from visible extraneous matter and hard lumps.

#### 2 Active ingredient

2.1 Identity tests (AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Bifenthrin Analysis in Technical Material and Formulations by Capillary Gas Chromatography, Note 1)
The active ingredient shall comply with an identity test and, where the

identity remains in doubt, shall comply with at least one additional test.

2.2 Bifenthrin content (AOAC INTERNATIONAL: Bifenthrin Analysis in Technical Material and Formulations by Capillary Gas Chromatography, Note 1)
The bifenthrin content shall be declared (100 g/kg) and, when determined, the average content measured shall not differ from that declared by more than 10% of the declared content.

#### 3 Relevant impurities

Water (MT 30.6, CIPAC Handbook P, p. 222, 2021)

Maximum: 30.0 g/kg

\* Specifications may be revised and/or additional evaluations may be undertaken. Ensure the use of current <a href="https://www.fao.org/pest-and-pesticide-management/guidelines-standards/faowho-joint-meeting-on-pesticide-specifications-imps/pesticide-specifications/pesticide-specifications-list/en/">https://www.fao.org/pest-and-pesticide-management/guidelines-standards/faowho-joint-meeting-on-pesticide-specifications-imps/pesticide-specifications/pesticide-specifications-list/en/</a>

#### 4 Physical properties

- **4.1 Wet sieve test** (MT 185, CIPAC Handbook K, p. 149, 2003)
  - Maximum: 2 % retained on a 75 µm test sieve.
- **4.2** Suspensibility (MT 184.1, CIPAC Handbook P, p. 245, 2021) (Notes 2, 3 & 4)
  - Minimum 60% after 30 minutes in CIPAC Standard Water D at 25 ± 5°C
- **4.3** Persistent foam (MT 47.3, CIPAC Handbook O, p.177, 2017) (Note 5) Maximum 15 ml after 1 min.
- **4.4 Wettability** (MT 53.3.1, CIPAC Handbook F, p.165, 1995)
  - The formulation shall be completely wetted in 3 min without swirling

#### 5 Storage stability

**5.1 Stability at elevated temperature** (MT46.4, CIPAC Handbook P, p.232, 2021)

After storage at  $54 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 14 days, the determined average active ingredient content must not be lower than 95% relative to the determined average content found before storage (Note 6) and the formulation shall continue to comply with the clause for:

- -wet sieve test (4.1),
- -suspensibility (4.2)
- -wettability (4.4).

- Note 1: Gas Chromatographic Determination of Bifenthrin in Technical and Selected Formulated Products: Collaborative Study. Edward J. Kikta et al, Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume: 94, Issue: 2 (2011), pages 453 to 458 or through the AOAC International Official Method of Analysis website (www.aoac.org).
- Note 2 The formulation should be tested at the highest and lowest rates of use recommended by the supplier, provided this does not exceed the conditions given in methods MT 184.1.
- Note 3 This test will normally only be carried out after the heat stability test 5.1.
- Note 4 Chemical assay is the only fully reliable method to measure the mass of active ingredient still in suspension. However, simpler methods such as gravimetric and solvent extraction determination may be used on a routine basis provided that these methods have been shown to give equal results to those of chemical assay. In case of dispute, chemical assay shall be the "referee method".

  The AOAC method does not provide a method for chemical assay. The following procedure can be used to determine the remaining 10 % in the cylinder. For calculation, use the formula provided in MT 184.1. After removing the 9/10 top layer of the water/WP suspension, carefully remove the remaining bottom 1/10 residue and concentrate the solids either by filtration or centrifugation. Make sure to rinse the tube, lower 1/10 section only, at least twice with a small amount of water, to remove any of the remaining bottom material. Add the rinse to the filter or centrifuge tube for isolation.Dry the isolated WP to a constant weight under 50°C in a vacuum oven. After drying analyze the WP according to the method referenced in Note 1 for the WP. If the WP quantity is less than that specified in the procedure, the actual extraction volume should be proportionally adjusted.

- Note 5 The mass of sample to be used in the test should correspond to the highest rate of use recommended by the supplier. The test is to be conducted in CIPAC standard water D at  $25 \pm 5$ °C.
- Note 6 Samples of the formulation taken before and after the storage stability test may be analyzed concurrently after the test in order to reduce the analytical error.

### **PART TWO**

# **EVALUATION REPORTS**

# **BIFENTHRIN**

2023	FAO/WHO evaluation report based on submission of data from Hemani Industries Ltd. (TC)	12
	Supporting Information	14
	Annex 1: Hazard summary provided by the proposer	15
	Annex 2: References	16
2021	FAO/WHO evaluation report based on data submitted by UPL Limited (TC)	17
	Supporting Information	19
	Annex 1: Hazard summary provided by the proposer	20
	Annex 2: References	21
2016	FAO/WHO evaluation report based on data submitted by Bharat Rasayan Ltd. (TC)	22
	Supporting Information	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	Annex 1: Hazard summary provided by the proposer	25
	Annex 2: References	26
2014	FAO/WHO evaluation report based on data submitted by Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Co., Ltd. (TC)	28
	Supporting Information	Error! Bookmark not
		defined.0
	Annex 1: Hazard summary provided by the proposer	31
	Annex 2: References	32
2010	FAO/WHO evaluation report based on data submitted by FMC (TC, WP)	33
2009	FAO/WHO evaluation report based on on data submitted by FMC (TC, WP)	34

Supporting Information	38
Annex 1: Hazard summary provided by the proposer	42
Annex 2: References	58

#### **FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2023**

#### Recommendations

The Meeting recommended that:

- (i) The bifenthrin TC proposed by Hemani Industries Ltd. should be accepted as equivalent to the bifenthrin reference profile.
- (ii) The existing FAO specification for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the technical material produced by Hemani Industries Ltd.
- (iii) The existing WHO specification for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the technical material produced by Hemani Industries Ltd.

#### **Appraisal**

The Meeting considered data submitted between October 2021 and November 2022 by Hemani Industries Ltd. (Hemani) for the determination of the equivalence for the bifenthrin TC.

The data submitted met the requirements of the 2022 second edition of the FAO/WHO manual.

The reference specification and supporting data were provided by FMC in 2008.

The Meeting noted that the data still belongs to FMC and the company still supports the reference specification.

Bifenthrin is not under patent.

Bifenthrin was evaluated by the FAO/WHO JMPR in 1992 and 2009 for toxicology and several times between 1992 and 2015 for residues.

The manufacturer submitted confidential data on the manufacturing process, together with the manufacturing specification and 5-batch analysis data on bifenthrin purity and impurities ≥1 g/kg.

Hemani stated that the confidential data submitted to FAO/WHO are identical to those submitted for registration of the TC in Australia. A notice of approval issued by the Australian authority in 2020 was included in the submission.

The manufacturing process is comparable to the manufacturing process of the reference profile.

The 5 batches were produced within one month end of 2017. The mass balance in the 5 batches ranged from 99.49 to 99.78%. The specified minimum purity of bifenthrin in the TC is 980 g/kg, which is higher than the limit of 930 g/kg specified in the published TC specification.

There are no relevant impurities in the existing TC specification. In the manufacturing process, the use of two solvents was declared. Neither of these were determined to be relevant based on the measured concentrations and the established criteria for determining relevance. No new impurity was detected in the 5 batch data.

While the method recommended for bifenthrin content in the published TC specification is the AOAC method using GC/FID, the company used an in-house HPLC-method with UV-detection at 225 nm in the 5-batch analysis study. In response to a request for further information, the company submitted a new 5-batch study, determining only the bifenthrin content of five batches produced in 2022, but using the AOAC method. Although different batches were examined, the results were comparable to the in-house method and as such were accepted by the Meeting as bridging study.

A bacterial reverse mutation test (OECD 471) with *Salmonella typhimurium* was submitted. The results of the study show that bifenthrin TC produced by Hemani Industry Limited does not induce mutation under the conditions of this study.

On basis of Tier-1 data provided by Hemani (manufacturing process, purity/impurity profile, 5-batch analysis data, mutagenicity profile), the Meeting concluded that the bifenthrin TC from Hemani should be considered as equivalent to the reference profile supporting the existing FAO and WHO specifications 415/TC (FAO/WHO evaluation report 415/2009).

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

#### **FOR**

#### **EVALUATION REPORT 415/2023**

#### Table 1. Chemical composition and properties of bifenthrin technical material (TC)

Manufacturing process, maximum limits for impurities ≥ 1 g/kg, 5 batch analysis data			Confidential information supplied and held on file by FAO or WHO. Mass balances were 99.49 – 99.78 % and percentages of unknowns were < 0.1 %.				
Declared minimum [a.i.] co	ontent	980 g	/kg				
Relevant impurities ≥ 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them			None				
Relevant impurities < 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them:		None					
Stabilisers or other additives and maximum limits for them:							
Parameter Value and condit			Purity %	Method reference	Study number		
Melting temperature 68.8 °C range of the TC			98.13	OECD 102	G14444		

#### Formulations and co-formulated active ingredients

No formulations are proposed

#### Methods of analysis and testing

The active ingredient content of bifenthrin technical material is determined based on reverse phase (Gemini NX-C18) HPLC using external standard quantitation with UV-detection at 225 nm. The mobile phase used is acetonitrile/methanol/ammonium acetate (80/10/10). This method provides baseline separation of bifenthrin *cis* isomer from bifenthrin *trans* isomer allowing determination of *cis*(*Z*): *trans*(*E*) isomer ratio. This analytical method was developed and adequately validated by the test facility under the US EPA Guidelines (OPPTS 830.1800). Data have been provided to demonstrate that this HPLC method gives equivalent bifenthrin purity results to the existing AOAC GC-FID method referred to in the current FAO/WHO specification.

Impurities are determined by reversed phase HPLC, GC and Karl-Fischer-titration (CIPAC MT methods).

#### **Physical properties**

Test methods for determination of physico-chemical properties of the technical active ingredient were OECD.

#### Containers and packaging

No special requirements for containers and packaging have been identified.

#### **Expression of the active ingredient**

The active ingredient is expressed as bifenthrin.

#### HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER

#### Notes:

- (i) The proposer confirmed that the toxicological data included in the summary below were derived from bifenthrin having impurity profiles similar to those referred to in the table above
- (ii) The conclusions expressed in the summary below are those of the proposer, unless otherwise specified.

Table 2. Mutagenicity profile of the technical material based on an in vitro test

Species	Test	Purity %	Guideline, duration, doses and conditions	Result	Study number
Salmonella Typhimurium [TA 1537, TA1535, TA98, TA100, E.Coli - WP2uvrA(pKM101)]	Reverse mutation (in vitro)	98.13	OECD 471, Doses: 16, 50, 158, 500, 1581, 5000 µg/ plate with and without metabolic activation	Negative	G14460

# **REFERENCES**

(sorted by study number)

Ref. No, Author(s)	Year	Study title. Study identification number. Report identification number. GLP [if GLP]. Company conducting the study
G14442 Yajna M.	2018	Determination of Solubility of Bifenthrin Technical in Organic Solvents. Study No. G14442. GLP. M/s Hemani Industries Ltd, India. Unpublished.
G14444 Yajna M.	2018	Determination of Melting Point of Bifenthrin Technical. Study No. G14444. GLP. M/s Hemani Industries Ltd, India. Unpublished.
G14446 Yajna M.	2018	Determination of Partition Coefficient ( <i>n</i> -Octanol/Water) of Bifenthrin Technical. Study No. G14446. GLP. M/s Hemani Industries Ltd, India. Unpublished.
G14447 Yajna M.	2019	Determination of Water Solubility of Bifenthrin Technical. Study No. G14447. GLP. M/s Hemani Industries Ltd, India. Unpublished.
G14451 Yajna M.	2019	Determination of Vapour Pressure of Bifenthrin Technical. Study No. G14451. GLP. M/s Hemani Industries Ltd, India. Unpublished.
G17453 Yajna M.	2019	Hydrolysis as a function of pH of Bifenthrin Technical. Study No. G14453. GLP. M/s Hemani Industries Ltd, India. Unpublished.
G14460 S.Deepika Rani	2019	Bifenthrin Technical: Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. Study No. G14460. GLP. M/s Hemani Industries Ltd, India. Unpublished.

#### FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2021

#### Recommendations

The Meeting recommended that:

- (i) The bifenthrin TC as proposed by UPL Limited should be accepted as equivalent to the bifenthrin reference profile
- (ii) The existing FAO specification for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the technical material produced by UPL Limited
- (iii) The existing WHO specification for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the technical material produced by UPL Limited

#### **Appraisal**

The Meeting considered data and supporting information submitted between October 2019 and April 2021 by UPL Limited (UPL) for the determination of the equivalence of their technical material with the existing FAO and WHO specifications for bifenthrin TC. The data submitted were broadly in accordance with the requirements of the 2016 revision of the FAO/WHO Manual on specifications for pesticides.

The reference specifications and supporting data for bifenthrin TC and WP were provided by FMC in 2008, and the FAO/WHO specifications were published in 2009. The Meeting noted that the data still belongs to FMC, and the company still supports the reference specifications.

Bifenthrin is not under patent.

Bifenthrin was evaluated by the FAO/WHO JMPR in 1992 and 2009 for toxicology and several times between 1992 and 2015 for residues.

The manufacturer submitted confidential data on the manufacturing process, together with the manufacturing specification and 5-batch analysis data on purity and impurities ≥ 1 g/kg.

UPL stated that the confidential data (manufacturing process, purity and impurity profile) submitted to FAO/WHO are identical to those submitted for registration in Brazil. At the request of the Meeting, the manufacturer provided a certificate of registration from the Brazilian authorities.

The 5 batches were produced within two months. The mass balances in the 5 batches ranged from 993.9 g/kg to 994.3 g/kg. The specified minimum purity of bifenthrin in the TC is 970 g/kg, which is higher than the limit of 930 g/kg specified in the published FAO/WHO TC specification.

There are no relevant impurities in the existing specification for bifenthrin TC. Toluene and another residual solvent were considered for their potential relevance, and the Meeting concluded that, based on the criteria of the Manual, these impurities are not relevant at the specified limits. No new impurity was detected in the 5-batch data.

While the method recommended for bifenthrin content in the published TC specification is the AOAC method using GC-FID, the company used in the 5-batch data an in-house reversed phase HPLC method with UV detection at 200 nm. Additionally, an analytical bridging study was submitted by UPL comparing, for 5 batches of 2019, the results of the

in-house method with those of the AOAC method. Results of bifenthrin content obtained by the two methods are in acceptable agreement.

The company was asked to submit the full 5-batch analysis study report, as requested by the Manual. A screening study was also submitted in which the company analysed the 5 batches by HPLC-DAD and LC-MS/MS that confirmed that no other compound > 0.1% w/w was detected except for bifenthrin and the impurities already stated in the 5-batch data.

The Meeting requested clarification from the company on the *Z/E* ratio. The company claimed that it was 100/0. The Meeting concluded that a 100/0 ratio is acceptable considering that the purity of bifenthrin and the sum of all chemical compounds in TC are quite high. This *Z/E* ratio is not part of the specification, but it is reported in the evaluation reports of the reference profile and other subsequent manufacturers.

A bacterial reverse mutation test with *Salmonella typhimurium* tester strains (OECD 471) was submitted. The results of the study led to the conclusion that bifenthrin TC produced by UPL does not induce reverse mutations under the conditions of this study.

On basis of Tier-1 data provided by UPL (manufacturing process, purity/impurity profile, 5-batch analysis data, mutagenicity profile), the Meeting concluded that the bifenthrin TC from UPL should be considered as equivalent to the reference profile supporting the existing FAO and WHO specifications 415/TC (FAO/WHO evaluation report 415/2009).

The company submitted summary data on the toxicology profile of bifenthrin TC, based on acute toxicity, skin and eye irritation and skin sensitization. These data were not considered by the Meeting as it is not requested by the FAO/WHO Manual for equivalence assessment in Tier-1.

The Meeting also recommended updating the FAO and WHO WP specifications to align them with the most recent versions of the specification template in the Manual, in particular with the latest versions of CIPAC methods. They include:

- replacement of method for water content MT 30.5 by MT 30.6 as published in CIPAC Handbook P;
- replacement of method for suspensibility MT 184 by MT 184.1 as published in CIPAC Handbook P;
- persistent foam is now determined by the harmonised CIPAC MT 47.3 as published in CIPAC Handbook O;
- replacement of method for accelerated storage stability MT 46.3 by MT 46.4 as published in CIPAC Handbook P; and
- update of some footnotes.

These revised MT methods are considered to provide equivalent results as the previous versions, so all limits in the concerned clauses remained the same as for the previous versions.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR EVALUATION REPORT 415/2021

#### Table 1. Chemical composition and properties of bifenthrin technical material (TC)

Manufacturing process, r impurities ≥ 1 g/kg, 5 bate	Confidential information supplied and held on file by FAO and or WHO. Mass balances were 99.39-99.43% and percentages of unknowns were 0.08 to 0.15% %.					
Declared minimum bifent	hrin content	970	g/kg			
Relevant impurities ≥ 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them			None			
Relevant impurities < 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them:			None			
Stabilisers or other additives and maximum limits for them:			е			
Parameter Value and condition		าร	Purity %	Method reference	Study number	
Melting temperature 68.9 – 70.1°C range of the TC			98.39	OECD 102, capillary method	202-2-11-6557	

#### Formulations and co-formulated active ingredients

The current submission is for TC only.

#### Methods of analysis and testing

The determination of the active ingredient content of bifenthrin technical material is based on reverse phase (Gemini NX-C18) HPLC using external standard quantitation. The mobile phase used is acetonitrile/methanol/ammonium acetate (80/10/10) and detection by UV at 200 nm. This method provides baseline separation of bifenthrin *cis* isomer from bifenthrin *trans* isomer and therefore also allows determination of *cis:trans* isomer ratio. This analytical method was developed and adequately validated by the test facility under the US EPA Guidelines (OPPTS 830.1800).

Data has been provided to demonstrate that this HPLC method gives equivalent bifenthrin purity results to the existing AOAC GC-FID method referred to in the current FAO/WHO Specification.

Impurities are determined by reversed phase HPLC, GC and Karl-Fischer-titration.

#### Containers and packaging

No special requirements for containers and packaging have been identified.

#### **Expression of the active ingredient**

The bifenthrin content is expressed as bifenthrin.

#### HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER

- (i) The proposer confirmed that the toxicological data included in the summary below were derived from bifenthrin having impurity profiles similar to those referred to in the table above.
- (ii) The conclusions expressed in the summary below are those of the proposer, unless otherwise specified.

Table 2. Mutagenicity profile of the technical material based on in vitro test

Species	Test	Purity %	Guideline, duration, doses and conditions	Result	Study number
Salmonella typhimurium strains TA98, TA100, TA 102, TA 1535, TA1537	Bacterial reverse mutation	98.39	OECD 471, 156, 312, 625, 1250, 2500, 5000 µg/plate (with and without S-9 mix)	Not mutagenic	481-1-06-6554

# **REFERENCES**

Study number	Author(s)	Year	Study title. Study identification number. Report identification number. GLP [if GLP]. Company conducting the study.
-	E.J. Kitka	2011	Gas Chromatographic Determination of Bifenthrin in Technical and Selected Formulated Products: Collaborative Study, Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume: 94, Issue: 2 (2011), pages 453 to 458.
202-2-11-6557	D.B. Ghate	2013	Melting point/melting range of Bifenthrin Technical. Study No. 202-2-11-6557. GLP. Jai Research Foundation. Unpublished.
267-2-11-7054	A.H. Patel	2014	Preliminary screening of five representative production batches of bifenthrin technical grade active ingredient (TGAI) and its associated impurities. Study No. 267-2-11-7054. GLP. Jai Research Foundation. Unpublished.
227-2-12-8134	A.H. Patel	2014	Preliminary analyses of five representative production batches of bifenthrin technical grade active ingredient (TGAI) to determine % bifenthrin and to quantify its associated impurities. Study No. 227-2-12-8134. GLP. Jai Research Foundation. Unpublished.
227-2-12-8134	A.H. Patel	2014	Validation of analytical method for determination of bifenthrin content and its associated impurities, Appendix 3, Study No. 227-2-12-8134. GLP. Jai Research Foundation. Unpublished.
-	UPL	2019	Percent Purity Analysis of UPL source Bifenthrin; Comparison of results between JRF HPLC Method and AOAC GLC Method. UPL.
-	UPL	2021	Justification for <i>E</i> -Isomer content and <i>Z:E</i> Ratio in bifenthrin technical. UPL.
-	S.S. Gaikwad	d 2014	Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test of bifenthrin technical using Salmonella typhimurium. Study No. 481-1-06-6554. GLP. Jai Research Foundation. Unpublished.

#### **FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2016**

#### Recommendations

The Meeting recommended that:

(i) The FAO and WHO specifications for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the product of Bharat Rasayan Limited.

#### **Appraisal**

The data for bifenthrin technical material were submitted in 2012 by Bharat Rasayan Limited, India (Bharat Rasayan) and evaluated in support of extension of the existing FAO and WHO specifications for bifenthrin TC.

The Meeting was provided with commercially confidential information on the manufacturing process, together with five batch analysis data and manufacturing specification for purity and impurities present at or above 1 g/kg. Mass balances ranged from 998.5 to 999.2 g/kg.

At the beginning of the evaluation, differences in the declared minimum purity registered in India (920 g/kg) with that declared for JMPS (970 g/kg) and in the manufacturing specifications became apparent. The company explained that the specification of the bifenthrin TC submitted in India had recently been updated to 970 g/kg after improving the manufacturing process. The Indian pesticide registration authority (Dr.B.S. Phogat, 2015) confirmed the update and the similarity of the confidential data packages submitted to the Indian authority and to JMPS.

The manufacturing process developed by Bharat Rasayan is different from that used by the proposer of the reference profile. The minimum purity of bifenthrin is 970 g/kg and complies with the existing specification. The Z/E ratio was equivalent to that in the reference profile. The cis/trans ratio was reported as two different results, which were 99.92/0.08 and 100:0 in 2 different reports both using un-validated methods. A new report submitted in 2016 using the collaboratively tested AOAC method showed the cis/trans ratio in Bharat Rasayan's products to be  $\geq$  999:1, which is in equivalence with the reference profile.

One impurity in the manufacturing specification, when compared with the limit in the reference profile, exceeded the threshold of 50% higher as compared to the maximum level in the reference profile as set out in the Manual. No toxicity/ecotoxicity data on this impurity has been found.

However, the molecular structure of the impurity does not indicate a toxicological alert, and the compound is also known as a mammalian metabolite of bifenthrin. The Meeting concluded that, based on the structural considerations, this impurity at its higher level should not be considered as relevant, so that the manufacturing specifications can be considered as equivalent on Tier-1

Two new impurities were reported and specified, including one residual solvent which is controlled at 5 g/kg or below; the other was not detected above the limit of quantitation (0.02 g/kg) in all 5 batches, and their low concentrations render them nonrelevant impurities. The later however would be treated as relevant if the content would exceed 0.1 g/kg.

The analytical method for the active ingredient (including identity tests) is Bharat Rasayan's in house validated method. The bifenthrin content was determined by capillary GC, using a HP-5

(30 m length, 0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness, 0.25 mm diameter) capillary column and a FID detector, and quantified using *n*-octacosane as internal standard. The proposer submitted a bridging study for the in-house and a modified AOAC method. The results of the two methods showed a good agreement for all batches, except the ratio of *cis* and *trans* isomers not being determined as the AOAC method did.

Alternatively, the company determined the ratio of bifenthrin *cis/trans* isomers using a normal phase HPLC method for separation, and identified each peak based on its elution pattern and molecular structure. The *cis/trans* isomer ratio was determined based on an area normalization. Since no standards of *cis/trans* isomers were used for identification, the quantitative analysis or method was not available either. A reverse phase HPLC method for separation of a starting material related to the acid part of the molecule was also provided by the supplier of the intermediate. However, no isomer standards were used either. In 2014, the meeting requested the company to use an acceptable method for determination of the *cis/trans* isomer ratio.

Two new reports were submitted for the determination of the ratio of *cis/trans* isomers by using chiral HPLC and AOAC methods separately, and bifenthrin *Z-cis* standard (purity: 98.6%) and bifenthrin E-trans standard (purity: 56.5% *E-trans*-isomer, 41.2% *Z-cis*-isomer) were used in the two methods. When using chiral HPLC method, it was observed that the enantiomeric peaks of the *E-trans* isomer was not detectable in any of the technical samples of bifenthrin, and only 2 enantiomers peaks of *Z-cis* isomers were obtained. The virtual absence of the *E-trans* isomer was verified by fortifying the bifenthrin technical samples with standard solution of bifenthrin *E-trans* isomer to get extra peaks of 2 enantiomers of *E-trans* isomer. When using AOAC method, only one peak for bifenthrin *Z-cis* isomer was observed, and *E-trans* isomer peak was absent in all technical samples. Based on the above findings, the proposer confirmed that its product does not contain detectable amounts of the *E-trans* isomer of bifenthrin, that is, the ratio of *cis/trans* would be 100:0 (but *Z-trans* was not determined at all). The meeting noted that the new result is not consistent with the result of 99.868/0.132 in an earlier report, in which normal HPLC method were used based on % area normalization. No validation data for the in-house HPLC method was available.

The company stated that they did not use the HPLC method any more. Subsequently in 2016, a new report was submitted, in which the AOAC method was verified, and the *cis/trans* ratio was determined using *Z-cis* and *Z-trans* isomer standards by GC method. The AOAC method for *cis/trans* ratio was verified for linearity, specificity, accuracy, precision and LOQ. The Meeting concluded that based on these studies the minimum purity in the technical material produced by Bharat Rasayan is justified.

The batches used in genotoxicity studies were different from those used in 5 batch study. The proposer stated that the batches were from the same commercial manufacturing process as those used in the 5 batch study, and submitted a CoA for each batch, in which the content of active ingredient was determined, but not those of impurities.

The Meeting therefore concluded that the bifenthrin TC produced by Bharat Rasayan is equivalent to the reference profile by Tier-1.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR EVALUATION REPORT 415/2016

#### Physico-chemical properties of bifenthrin

### Table 1. Chemical composition and properties of bifenthrin technical material (TC)

Manufacturing process, n impurities ≥ 1 g/kg, 5 bate	Confidential information supplied and held on file by FAO and or WHO. Mass balances were 99.85-99.92% and percentages of unknowns were 0.08 to 0.15% %.					
Declared minimum bifent	hrin content	970	g/kg			
Relevant impurities ≥ 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them			None			
Relevant impurities < 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them:			None			
Stabilisers or other additives and maximum limits for them:			е			
Parameter Value and condition		ns	Purity %	Method reference	Study number	
Melting temperature range of the TC	63.3 – 64.0°C		98.35	EEC A.1, OECD 102, USEPA OPPTS 830.7200	12150	

#### Formulations and co-formulated active ingredients

Bharat Rasayan did not propose a formulation specification.

#### Methods of analysis and testing

The method for determination of bifenthrin content in TC was a modified AOAC method published in 2011. The bifenthrin content was determined by GC, using a HP-5 MS column (30 m x 0.25 mm (i.d.) x 0.25  $\mu$ m film thickness) and with FID detection, and noctacosane as internal standard.

The method to determine the cis/trans ratio is an in-house validated method. It was determined by GC, using a 50% trifluoropropyl-methylpolysiloxane (30 m length, 1  $\mu$ m film thickness, 0.53 mm diameter).

The methods for determination of impurities are based on GC-MS and GC and external standardization.

#### Containers and packaging

No special requirements for containers and packaging have been identified.

#### **Expression of the active ingredient**

The bifenthrin content is expressed as bifenthrin.

#### HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER

#### Notes:

- (i) The proposer confirmed that the toxicological data included in the summary below were derived from bifenthrin having impurity profiles similar to those referred to in the table above.
- (ii) The conclusions expressed in the summary below are those of the proposer, unless otherwise specified.

Table 2. Mutagenicity profile of the technical material based on in vitro test

Species	Test	Purity %	Guideline, duration, doses and conditions	Result	Study number
Salmonella typhimurium	OECD 471	98.35	OECD Guideline 471 and USEPA-OPPTS-870.5100 1998. 0.156, 0.313, 0.625, 1.25 and 2.5 mg/plate, both in presence (+S9) and in absence (-S9) of metabolic activation (S9 mix). Strains: Salmonella typhimurium TA 1535, TA 1537, TA 98, TA 100 and TA 102.	Does not induce gene mutations by base pair changes or frameshifts in the genome of the strains used.	3550

# **REFERENCES**

Study number	Author(s)	Year	Study title. Study identification number. Report identification number. GLP [if GLP]. Company conducting the study.
R&D/BRL/BFT- ISOMEER-022016	<b>;</b>	2016	Analysis of Bifenthrin Isomers Contents in Representative Production Batches of Bifenthrin Technical Using AOAC Validated Gas Chromatographic Method. Research and Development Centre Bharat Rasayan Limited Bahadurgarh, India. Non-GLP.
Dr.B.S.Phogat, 2015		2015	E-mail from Dr.B.S.Phogat, APPA & Secretary (CIB&RC), Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India sent 18 May 2015 to Mr Chen Tiechun, ICAMA, confirming the similarity of confidential data submitted for registration of Bharat's Bifenthrin in India with the data submitted to JMPS.
	FAO/WHO	2010	Manual on development and use of FAO and WHO specifications for pesticides. February 2009 Revision of First Edition. FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper. Revised.
R&D/BRL/BFT- ISO-092013		2013	Title: Separation and Analysis of <i>cis/trans</i> and <i>Z/E</i> isomers ratio in Bifenthrin Technical Samples and Lambda-Cyhalothrin Acid Using HPLC. Research and Development Centre Bharat Rasayan Limited Bahadurgarh, India. Non-GLP.
R&D/BRL/BFT- IMP-062013		2013	Title: Analysis of Bifenthrin Associated Impurities in Representative Production Batches of Bifenthrin Technical Grade Active Ingredient (TGAI) Using Gas Chromatographic Method. Research and Development Centre Bharat Rasayan Limited Bahadurgarh, India. Non-GLP.
		2013	Title: Test Certificate (Bifenthrin-GPC Analysis Report). Shriram Institute for Industrial Research, India Non-GLP.
R&D/BRL/BFT- TC-012013		2013	Title: Analysis of Bifenthrin Content in Representative Production Batches of Bifenthrin Technical Grade Active Ingredient (TGAI) Using AOAC Gas Chromatographic Method. Research and Development Centre Bharat Rasayan Limited Bahadurgarh, India. Non-GLP.
R&D/BRL/BFT- ENTM-122014	Mr.R K Srivastava	2014	Title: Bifenthrin cis/trans Enantiomers Analysis Using Chiral HPLC. Research and Development Centre Bharat Rasayan Limited Bahadurgarh, India. Non-GLP.
232-2-12-1801		2011	Analysis of Bifenthrin Active Ingredient Content in Five Representative Production Batches of Bifenthrin Technical by Gas Chromatography. Jai Research Foundation (JRF), India. GLP.
227-2-12-1800		2011	Preliminary Analyses of Five Representative Production Batches of Bifenthrin Technical Grade Active Ingredient (TGAI) to Determine % Bifenthrin and to Quantify its Associated Impurities. Jai Research Foundation (JRF), India. GLP.
12150		2012	Title: Bifenthrin Technical: Laboratory Study of Melting point. International Institute of Biotechnology and Toxicology (IIBAT), India. GLP.
12155		2012	Title: Bifenthrin Technical: Determination of Active Ingredient Content. International Institute of Biotechnology and Toxicology (IIBAT), India. GLP.
12156		2012	Title: Bifenthrin Technical: Laboratory Study of Solubility in Organic Solvents. International Institute of Biotechnology and Toxicology (IIBAT), India. GLP.
12157		2012	Title: Bifenthrin Technical: Laboratory Study of Physical state, COLOUR AND ODOR. International Institute of Biotechnology and Toxicology (IIBAT), India. GLP.

RCC 3550		2012	Title: Bacterial Reverse Mutation Assay with Bifenthrin Technical. RCC Laboratories India Private Limited, Hyderabad, India. GLP.
BIO-TX280		2013	Title: Skin Sensitisation Study of Bifenthrin Technical in Guinea Pigs. Bioneeds, India. GLP
	FAO/WHO	2009	FAO/WHO specifications and Evaluations for Public Health Pesticides - Bifenthrin, FAO/WHO Evaluation Report 415/2009.
	FAO/WHO	2010	FAO/WHO specifications and Evaluations for Public Health Pesticides - Bifenthrin, FAO/WHO Evaluation Report 415/2010.

#### **FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2014**

#### Recommendations

The Meeting recommended that:

- (i) The existing FAO specification for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the product of Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Co., Ltd., manufactured by Youth Chemical Co., Ltd., China.
- (ii) The existing WHO specification for bifenthrin TC should be extended to encompass the product of Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Co., Ltd., manufactured by Youth Chemical Co., Ltd., China.

#### **Appraisal**

The data for bifenthrin were submitted in 2013 by Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Co. (Yangnong) and evaluated in support of extension of existing FAO- and WHO specifications 415/TC (2012).

The Meeting was provided with confidential information on the manufacturing process, together with 5-batch analytical data and manufacturing specification for purity and impurities present at levels ≥1 g/kg. Mass balances were in the range of 989.4 - 993.0 g/kg.

The purity and impurity profile submitted by Yangnong to JMPS was consistent with that submitted to the pesticide registration authority in China (Zhao Yonghui, 2014).

The manufacturing process provided by Yangnong is similar to that supporting the existing FAO and WHO specification for bifenthrin TC. The Meeting noted, that Yangnong's process description also included information on the manufacturing of some starting materials. The minimum purity of bifenthrin in the TC is 980 g/kg and complies with the existing specification. The *cis/trans* and *Z/E* isomer ratios were similar to those in the reference profile.

The declared manufacturing limits for the impurities identified in the technical material were comparable to the limits in the reference profile and did not exceed the tolerances as set in the FAO/WHO Manual. No new impurities related to bifenthrin were identified. However, the Meeting questioned the completeness of the manufacturing specification with regard to the possible presence of residues of certain solvents and reagents used in the manufacturing process. The company subsequently provided additional data that led to the conclusion that the control limits of residual solvents and reagents in the proposer's product are much lower than those in the reference profile.

The Meeting concluded that the solvents and reagents used in the manufacturing process are sufficiently removed so their low concentrations render them non-relevant impurities. These compounds were determined by a GC-FID method using external standard, properly validated for linearity of response, accuracy and repeatability.

Initially, the analytical methods for the active ingredient (including identity tests) were inhouse methods, as at the time of elaboration of the data on 5 typical batches the AOAC method was not yet published. The bifenthrin content was determined by reversed phase HPLC with UV detection. The *cis/trans* isomer ratio was determined by GC-FID according to the area ratio by using peak area normalization method. The possible *trans* isomer peak was confirmed according to the MS spectra from test item after excluding the peak of active ingredient and the *E* isomer.

The Meeting requested the company to carry out a bridging study for the in-house and AOAC methods for the determination of bifenthrin content and *cis/trans* ratio. The comparison of the results of the two methods (AOAC method and Yangnong in-house method) showed a good agreement for all batches.

The batches used for the determination of the *cis/trans* ratio were different from that used for the 5-batch report, and were analyzed for the content of the active ingredient and significant impurities. The results were consistent with those in 5-batch report.

The genotoxicity data were provided following the OECD guideline 471 with the exception, that only *S. typhimurium* and no *E. coli* had been included in the tests. The tests were performed in compliance with GLP, and the results led to the conclusion that the bifenthrin TC from Yangnong did not show genotoxicity *in vitro* under the conditions of the test.

The batches used in genotoxicity studies, identification studies, physical chemical property studies were all different from those used in 5 batch study. The proposer stated that:

- (i) The data provided have been generated from the proposer's material.
- (ii) All toxicological data generated from batches of material which were not specially purified, and in which the impurity concentrations complied with the limits.
- (iii) Current production complies with the limits of active ingredient and impurities.

The analytical details were provided to JMPS to establish the links between the hazard and purity/impurity profile data submitted. The analytical results showed that the concentrations of bifenthrin and all impurites in all the above batches complied with the manufacturing limits.

The Meeting therefore concluded that the bifenthrin TC produced by Yangnong is equivalent to the reference profile by Tier-1.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**EVALUATION REPORT 415/2014** 

# FOR

#### Table 1. Chemical composition and properties of bifenthrin technical materials (TC)

Manufacturing proces impurities ≥ 1 g/kg, 5	ss, maximum limits for batch analysis data	Confidential information supplied and held on file by FAO. Mass balances were 98.94 -99.30% and percentages of unknowns were 1.06–0.70%.			
Declared minimum bi	fenthrin content	980 g/kg			
Relevant impurities ≥ limits for them	None				
Relevant impurities < limits for them:	None				
Stabilisers or other ad limits for them:	None				
Parameter	Value and conditions	Purity %	Method reference	Study number	
Melting temperature range of the TC	69.4 – 70.0 °C	98.3	OECD 102	3513080006	
Solubility in organic solvents	80-100 g/l <i>n</i> -heptane at 25°C >250 g/l <i>p</i> -xylene at 25°C >250 g/l 1,2-dichloro-ethane at 25°C <10 g/l propane-2-ol at 25°C >250 g/l acetone at 25°C >250 g/l ethyl acetate at 25°C		98.3	CIPAC MT 181	3513080008

#### Formulations and co-formulated active ingredients

Yangnong did not propose a formulation specification.

#### Methods of analysis and testing

The AOAC method for determination of bifenthrin content in TC and WP was published in 2011, whereas the Yangnong 5-batch study report was completed in 2009. The analytical method for the active ingredient (including identity tests) was developed and adequately validated by the test facility under the U.S. EPA guidelines (OPPTS 830.1700 and 830.1800). The bifenthrin content is determined in the TC by reverse phase HPLC, using UV detection at 245 nm and external standardization. The method to determine the Z/E ratio is an in-house validated method. It was determined by HPLC, using a ZORBAX SB-C8 (250 x 4.6 mm, 5µm particle size) column and UV detection at 210 nm.

The method(s) for determination of impurities are based on reverse phase HPLC, using UV detection at 210 nm and external standardization.

#### Containers and packaging

No special requirements for containers and packaging have been identified.

#### **Expression of the active ingredient**

The bifenthrin content is expressed as bifenthrin.

#### HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER

#### Notes.

- (i) The proposers confirmed that the toxicological data included in the summary below were derived from bifenthrin having impurity profiles similar to those referred to in the table above.
- (ii) The conclusions expressed in the summary below are those of the proposers, unless otherwise specified.

Table 2. Mutagenicity profile of bifenthrin technical material based on in vitro test

Species	Test	Purity %	Guideline, duration, doses and conditions	Result	Study number
Salmonella typhimurium strains TA97a, TA98, TA100, TA102 and TA1535	Bacterial reverse mutation	98.3	OECD 471 128, 320, 800, 2000, 5000 µg/plate (with and without S-9 mix) 37 °C for 48 hours	Not mutagenic	2014-165- 01-01

The results of the study lead to the conclusion that bifenthrin TC produced by Yangnong does not induce mutation under the conditions of the study.

# **REFERENCES**

Study number	Author(s) Year Study title. Study identification number. Report identification number. GLP [if GLP]. Company conducting the study.
Zhao Y., 2014	2014 E-mail from Mr Zhao Yonghui, deputy director of Registration Division of ICAMA sent 12 June 2014 to Mr Chen Tiechun, ICAMA, confirming the similarity of confidential data submitted for registration of Yangnong's bifenthrin in China with the data submitted to JMPS.
NC-2011- 042	2011 Determination of <i>cis/trans</i> ratio (Active Ingredient/ <i>trans</i> -isomers ratio) in 5 batch bifenthrin TGAI. NC-2011-042. GLP. Nutrichem Laboratory Co. Ltd., China.
NC-2008- 022	2009 Preliminary Analysis and Enforcement Analytical Method of bifenthrin TGAI. NC-2008-022. GLP. NutrichemLaboratory Co. Ltd., China.
NC-2013- 098	2014 Determination of 3D spectra, Identification of Active Ingredient and Impurities for 5 Batches of Bifenthrin TGAI as amendment to study NC-2008-022. NC-2013-098. GLP. Nutrichem Laboratory Co. Ltd., China.
3513080006	2013 Determination of the Melting Point/Melting Range of 97% bifenthrin Technical. 3513080006. GLP. Shanghai Research Institute of Chemical Industry Testing Centre, China.
3513080008	2013 Determination of the Solubility in Organic Solvents of 97% bifenthrin Technical. 3513080008. GLP. Shanghai Research Institute of Chemical Industry Testing Centre, China.
2014-165- 01-01	2014 Bacterium Reverse Mutation Test for Bifenthrin. 2014-165-01-01. GLP.

#### **FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2010**

#### Recommendations

The Meeting recommended that:

(i) The specifications proposed by FMC for bifenthrin TC and WP as amended should be adopted by WHO and FAO, taking into account that the collaboratively tested analytical method is now published and a method for the chemical assay of the active ingredient in the suspensibility assay has been provided.

#### **Appraisal**

The analytical method for determination of bifenthrin in TC and WP was published in the Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume 94 and is also available on the AOAC INTERNATIONAL website. Furthermore, the company provided an addendum to the analytical method for the determination of the remaining 10% in the cylinder in the CIPAC MT 184, suspensibility method.

The draft specifications were essentially in accordance with the requirements of the FAO and WHO Specification Manual, March 2006 revision. A few issues relating to the WPwere identified by the Meeting.

#### WP only

The necessity of limiting water content was discussed by the Meeting. The Meeting accepted that water should be limited in the WP, to minimize to potential for clumping of the powder particles during storage of the formulation. The proposed limit of 30 g/kg was accepted. The Meeting noted the exceptionally long wetting time of 3 minutes, which was explained by the proposer to be due to the hydrophobic nature of the active ingredient.

#### **FAO/WHO EVALUATION REPORT 415/2009**

#### Recommendations

The Meeting recommended that:

- (i) The specifications proposed by FMC for bifenthrin TC and WP, as amended, should be adopted by WHO subject to the publication of the analytical method for bifenthrin TC and WP and amendment of the analytical method for WP for determination of the suspensibility. In the meantime, the evaluation report can be published.
- (ii) The specifications for bifenthrin TC and WP, as amended, should be adopted by FAO, subject to the publication of the analytical method for bifenthrin TC and WP and amendment of the analytical method for WP for determination of the suspensibility. In the meantime, the evaluation report can be published.

#### **Appraisal**

The Meeting considered data and supporting information submitted by FMC for the development of new FAO and WHO specifications for bifenthrin TC and WP. The data submitted were broadly in accordance with the requirements of the FAO/WHO Manual (March 2006 revision of the first edition) and supported the draft specifications for new FAO and WHO specifications.

Bifenthrin is a pyrethroid insecticide, which had been the subject of a time-limited WHO interim specification withdrawn in April 2008. A WHOPES recommendation for the use of a 10 % bifenthrin WP in public health for indoor residual spraying for malaria vector control was published in 2001. The toxicology of bifenthrinwas evaluated by the FAO/WHO JMPR in 2009. The WHO/IPCS (International Programme on Chemical Safety) has also evaluated bifenthrin in 2002.

The *cis/trans* ratio in technical bifenthrin is higher than 97:3 and the *Z/E* ratio is higher than 99:1.

Confidential information on the manufacturing process and limits for all impurities occurring at or above 1 g/kg in the TC were provided to the Meeting. The manufacturing specification for minimum bifenthrin content of the TC was 930 g/kg. The limits for content of bifenthrin and impurities were supported by 5 batch analysis data. The manufacturing specification and their data on 5 batches have evolved over time, in the way that, among other, the minimum purity was increased (from initially 890 g/kg to 930 g/kg) and additional manufacturing sites were introduced.

The first impurity profile and specification were elaborated in 1986 for the TC produced in US. In recent years, two more production sites were introduced and the material produced characterized by analysis of 5 typical batches.

Mass balances were in the range of 98.6 to 99.1 and 97.9 to 98.8 % respectively in the batches of the two actual production sites.

The bifenthrin TC produced at the different sites were considered to meet a common manufacturing specification, even though some impurities show a considerable variability in their concentrations in the batches from the two sites analyzed. The questions of equivalence of the TC produced in the different sites was discussed and the Meeting agreed that based on the rules of the Manual on equivalence the TC produced at the different sites

can be considered broadly equivalent. The methods to analyze the batches were the same for all samples and full validation was provided.

The information on the manufacturing process and impurities present in the TC was identical to that submitted in support of registration of bifenthrin in Switzerland.

The manufacturer provided information on the materials used in the hazard tests and the Meeting agreed that the hazard data were acceptable. The Meeting noted that the TC with a content of 901 g/kg, tested in the majority of toxicological and ecotoxicological studies (Annex 1, Tables 3-6), was lower than the current manufacturing specification (≥930 g/kg).

The proposer stated that no relevant impurities are present in the technical material, either > 1 g/kg or less than 1 g/kg. The question of the relevance of certain impurities was discussed by the Meeting. The stereoisomers having *trans*- and *E* configuration at the cyclopropane moiety or the vinyl bond, respectively, being present in technical bifenthrin were considered non-relevant as there was no indication that these stereoisomers would adversely influence the hazard when present at higher concentrations. The Meeting discussed the question of some residual solvents present in the TC – among them toluene – which had been considered relevant in other cases. In bifenthrin TC the amounts detected are so low that they can be considered as non-relevant.

However, based on the rules of the Specifications Manual, one impurity, the anhydride of the 3-(2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoro-1-propenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropanecarboxylic acid, for brevity called the TFP anhydride<sup>1</sup>, was identified as a potentially relevant impurity. Considering the end points of the hazard data provided in Table 3 on acute dermal toxicity in the Buehler and Magnusson-Kligman tests on dermal sensitization and the composition of the technical materials used in these studies, the negative end point in the Buehler test and the positive end point in the Magnusson-Kligmann test were tentatively associated with a low content of TFP anhydride in the material used for the Buehler test and a higher content of TFP anhydride in the material used in the maximization test. The meeting noted, that the latter test is more challenging and tends to show more positive results with the same material used. It remained however unclear whether bifenthrin itself as a pure compound would elicit such a response in the tested animals. In order to elucidate how the residual TFP anhydride was contributing to the dermal sensitization, an additional study was recently undertaken with a low content (0.2 g/kg) of TFP anhydride. The overall result clearly showed that technical bifenthrin with such a low content of anhydride is a sensitizer too.

In conclusion, bifenthrin is a sensitizer by itself, which renders the TFP anhydride non-relevant, and hence the Meeting agreed that no limit for this impurity needs to be set.

The method developed by the proposer and collaboratively validated by AOAC International to determine the content of bifenthrin in TC and in formulations (WP, EC, SC) utilizes megabore column gas chromatography with internal standard. This method allows the separation of the *cis* and *trans* isomers of bifenthrin and to the determination of the total bifenthrin content (expressed as sum of *cis* and *trans*-bifenthrin) as well as to the measurement of the ratio of *cis/trans* isomers present.

However, the method for the WP does not yet provide a submethod for the determination of the suspensibility (MT 184, CIPAC Handbook K, p. 142). The suspensibility is an important clause in the specification for solid formulations forming suspensions upon dilution with water as a WP. The assay is preferably done by chemical analysis similar to that for the total content of TC or WP. This submethod which is normally a part of the method for total content in a solid formulation is not yet available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The IUPAC chemical name of the TFP anhydride is 3-[(1*Z*)-2-chloro-3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-en-1-yl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic anhydride

In addition, the ratio of *cis/trans* isomers can be determined by non-enantioselective HPLC using an octadecyl-substituted silica (ODS) column (2). As the *cis/trans* isomers have diastereomeric relationship, they can easily be separated by this technique. The ratio of *Z* and *E* isomers at the vinyl bond together with the respective *cis/trans* isomers is determined using a non-enantioselective HPLC normal phase system (3), which provides full resolution of all *cis-trans* and *Z/E* isomers present in the technical bifenthrin. A validated method for determination of the TFP anhydride based on reversed phase HPLC-UV detection is available. The validation data show that the TFP anhydride can be determined in bifenthrin TC and show acceptable accuracy, reproducibility, and recovery and is capable to determine the impurity in a concentration range of 0.5 g/kg to 50 g/kg. The method is not validated for bifenthrin formulations.

As the AOAC method is not yet publicly available, an essential prerequisite for the publication of the specifications is missing and therefore the evaluation report only is published.

Bifenthrin is almost insoluble in water but moderately to highly soluble in organic solvents, such as hexane, ethanol, acetone, toluene, etc. It has a low volatility. It is stable under normal storage conditions and is only slowly hydrolyzed in water under neutral, acidic and basic pH conditions. The process of direct photolysis in water is slow, but in natural freshwater systems indirect photolysis may contribute significantly to the dissipation of the compound (cited after 4). Bifenthrin is strongly adsorbed on soil particles and is degraded with half-lives of typically 65 to 125 days (cited after Ref. 9). Despite this somewhat higher stability of bifenthrin in soil and water as compared to other pyrethroids, residues of bifenthrin are not expected to accumulate in soil and sediment, taking into consideration the low amounts applied and the moderate degradation rates in soil and water.

The toxicology data were elaborated using the technical active ingredient complying with the criteria given above (e.g. with a bifenthrin content of 901 g/kg, *cis/trans* ratio > 97.3, and *Z/E* 99: 1). Exceptions are data on skin sensitization (batch from 2004 with purity indicated) and in aquatic ecotoxicology testing, where biphenyl U-<sup>14</sup>C-labelled material having a comparable *cis/trans* ratio as the unlabelled material was used.

Bifenthrin generally shows moderate acute mammalian toxicity. The European Union, in the conclusion document on bifenthrin, also concluded that this compound is a sensitizer in the maximization test according to Magnusson and Kligman. The JMPR concluded that the results of the long-term studies in rats and mice and a series of studies designed to evaluate genotoxicity indicated that bifenthrin is unlikely to pose a mutagenic and teratogenic hazard to humans. An ADI of 0–0.01 mg/kg bw was set based on a NOAEL of 1.0 mg/kg bw per day in a study of developmental toxicity in rats and using a safety factor of 100. The JMPR Meeting also established an ARfD of 0.01 mg/kg bw based on a threshold dose of 1.3 mg/kgbw for motor activity in a study of acute toxicity in male rats treated by gavage and using a safetyfactor of 100.

The test battery for the assessment of mutagenicity yielded again mixed results. Whereas some tests were clearly negative (such as the Ames test on different strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* with and without activation, respectively), other tests showed weak positive response or yielded inconclusive results with technical bifenthrin, such as the Mouse Lymphoma Mutagenesis Assay or the unscheduled DNA synthesis test with rat hepatocytes. The overall conclusion, as shared with the JMPR evaluation (2), was that bifenthrin does not pose a significant hazard to humans with respect to mutagenicity.

A considerable data package on ecotoxicological effects of bifenthrin was presented. Aquatic organisms like *Daphnia magna*, mysid shrimp and several fish species were found to be very sensitive to low levels of the compound. These levels, often in the sub-ng/l range, were determined using <sup>14</sup>C-labelled bifenthrin (*cis/trans* ratio 98:2). The compound has been shown to bioaccumulate in fish (BCF 1060 at a concentration of less than 0.1 ng/l in a

flowthrough system). Bifenthrin is therefore highly toxic to aquatic organisms except algae, where no effect concentrations in the ppm-range clearly above water solubilility were observed.

Non-target predatory insects and mites such as *Chrysoperla carnea* or *Typhlodromus pyri* showed a high mortality at the somewhat exaggerated field rates corresponding to 60 g a.i. per ha (recommended field rate in Switzerland in agriculture: 20 - 40 g/ha). The same holds for the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. The spray deposits being dried up, the risk for honey bees is clearly reduced. In contrast, birds are not sensitive to the intake of bifenthrin, with acute toxicity (8 day feeding study) in the range of 1250 to 4450 mg/kg (LC<sub>50</sub>).

Bifenthrin is used both in agriculture to control sucking and biting insects like aphids, white fly, colorado beetle in various crops and in public health applications (mainly as emulsifiable concentrates or wettable powder), against mosquitoes, houseflies, cockroaches.

Test methods for determination of physical-chemical properties of the technical active ingredient were OECD or EC, while those for the formulations were AOAC International and CIPAC methods, as indicated in the specifications.

The Meeting considered the proposed specifications were broadly in accordance with the requirements of the specification manual (FAO/WHO 2006) and thus certain clauses in the existing specifications, e.g. melting point and flash point, had been omitted and did not require further consideration.

# References for the appraisal

Ref. Nr.	Authors	Year	Title
1	Chamberlain K, Matsuo N, Kanoko H and Khambay B P S	1998	Pyrethroids, in "Chirality in Agrochemicals", Ed. Norio Kurihara and Juhshi Miyamoto, Wiley, p. 32
2	Anon.	1987	FMC Test Method ACG 88, High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Analysis of FMC 54800 ("Reversed Phase")
3	Anon.	no date	FMC Corporation, Test Method ACG 89, High Performance Liquid Chromatographic Analysis of FMC 54800 ("Normal Phase")
4	Roberts T and Hutson D	1988	Metabolic Pathways of Agrochemicals, Part Two, Insecticides and Fungicides, "Bifenthrin", p. 594 to 596. The Royal Society of Chemistry

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR

**EVALUATION REPORT 415/2009** 

# **Explanation**

The data for bifenthrin were evaluated in support of new FAO/WHO specifications. Bifenthrin is not under patent.

Bifenthrin was first registered in the US and Europe in 1985. Bifenthrin was evaluated by the FAO/WHO JMPR in 1992 and 2009 and there are currently 27 approved CODEX maximum residue limits (MRLs) for bifenthrin. The WHO/IPCS (International Programme on Chemical Safety) has also evaluated bifenthrin (WHO Recommended Classification of Pesticides by Hazard, 2000-2002). The bifenthrin dossier was submitted to the European Commission in November 2003 in compliance with the EC Directive 91/414. Recently, EFSA published its conclusion on bifenthrin (available through http://www.efsa.europa.eu).

The draft specification and the supporting data were provided by FMC Corporation in 2001.

#### Uses

Bifenthrin is a fourth generation pyrethroid insecticide and acaricide that affects the nervous systems of target pests. It is used in horticulture and public health against (including but not limited to) caterpillars, grasshoppers, fleas, ants, cockroaches, moths, beetles, mites, aphids, thrips, scales, termites, mosquitoes, scorpions, wasps, and spiders.

# Identity of the active ingredient

ISO common name

bifenthrin (ISO 1750 (published))

Chemical name(s)

IUPAC: (2-methylbiphenyl-3-yl)methyl (1RS,3RS)-3-[(Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-

trifluoroprop-1-enyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

CAS: (2-methyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl) methyl (1R,3R)-rel-3-[(1Z)-2-chloro-3,3,3-

trifluoro-1-propen-1-yl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate

Synonims

FMC 54800

Structural formulae

$$H_3C$$
 $H_3C$ 
 $H_3C$ 

$$H_3C$$
 $H_3C$ 
 $H_3C$ 
 $H_3C$ 
 $(Z)$ -(1S)-cis-

Molecular formula

C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>CIF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

Molar mass

423.0 g/mol

CAS Registry number

82657-04-3

CIPAC number

415

Identity tests

GC relative retention time, IR spectrum, electron ionization mass spectrum (from GC-MS)

# Physico-chemical properties of bifenthrin

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of pure bifenthrin

Parameter	Value(s) and conditions	Purity %	Method reference (and technique if the reference gives more than one)	Reference
Vapour pressure	2.4 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa at 25°C	98.9	Gas Saturation Method	CGP-83-1
Melting point, boiling point and/or temperature of decomposition	Melting point: 65-70°C  Bifenthrin vaporizes intact in the 215-225°C temperature range	98.5	DSC TGA-IR	P-2544
Solubility in water	Less than 0.1 micrograms per litre at pH = 2, 7, and 11 (approximately 14 ppt)	96.6	Column generator method	P-17-99-45
Octanol/water partition coefficient	Log Pow>6 The extremely low water solubility of bifenthrin makes a more precise measurement of the partition coefficient nearly impossible and unnecessary.	96.5	Shake flask partitioning with HPLC analysis	P-0698
Hydrolysis characteristics	No hydrolysis was detected over a study period of 22 days at pH = 5, 7, and 9	96.5	Hydrolysis solutions stored in glass at 25°C. Analysis by HPLC	P-0701
Photolysis characteristics	This study estimates a DT50 of 24.4 to 24.8 days in the summer at 40° N and 50° N, respectively, and demonstrates that there is a pathway for the degradation of bifenthrin in water. Major transformation product-biphenyl alcohol.  Quantum yield: 7.00 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> .	Radiolabe led bifenthrin (98.3%)	Acetonitrile (30%) in water was used as a co-solvent due to low solubility of bifenthnin. Analyses were carried out by HPLC.	P-3837
Dissociation characteristics	Bifenthrin contains no functionalities subject to reversible dissociation.	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

# Table 2. Chemical composition and properties of bifenthrin technical material

Manufacturing process, maximum limits for impurities ≥ 1 g/kg, 5 batch analysis data	Confidential information supplied and held on file by FAO and WHO. Mass balances were 95.79 –98.54 % and percentages of unknowns were 4.21 – 1.46 %.
Declared minimum bifenthrin content	930 g/kg
Relevant impurities ≥ 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them	None
Relevant impurities < 1 g/kg and maximum limits for them	None
Stabilisers or other additives and maximum limits for them	None
Melting temperature range	65-70 °C, bifenthrin vaporizes intact at temperatures between 215-225°C

## **Hazard summary**

Bifenthrin has been evaluated by the WHO IPCS [2000-2002, Report No. WHO/PCS/01.5] and by the FAO/WHO JMPR in 1992 and 2009. The JMPR concluded that the results of the long-term studies in rats and mice and a series of studies designed to evaluate genotoxicity indicated that bifenthrin is unlikely to pose a carcinogenic hazard to humans. An ADI was allocated on the basis of the NOAEL of 0 to 0.01 mg/kg/bw/day using a 100-fold safety factor. This result was supported by the same NOEL in the rat teratology study, although in the latter study gavage, rather than dietary administration, was used.

The IPCS hazard classification of bifenthrin is moderately hazardous, class II.

#### **Formulations**

The main formulation types available are WP (wettable powder), EC (emulsifiable concentrate), GR (granules), UL (ultra-low volume liquid), SC (suspension concentrate) and ME (micro emulsion).

These formulations are registered and sold in many countries throughout the world.

# Methods of analysis and testing

The analytical method for the active ingredient (including identity tests) is a AOAC collaboratively validated analytical method. The bifenthrin content is determined by capillary GC with FID and internal standardisation with octacosane. Validation includes TC and WP, whereas EC and SC were tested but the validation results did not meet the acceptance criteria. The method was published in the Journal of AOAC INTERNATIONAL, Volume: 94, beginning of 2011. Furthermore, an addendum to CIPAC MT 184 (suspensibility) for the chemical assay of the remaining 10 % in the cylinder was provided by the company (see Note 5, WP specification). Test methods for determination of physico-chemical properties of the technical active ingredient were based on accepted procedures during the time period bifenthrin was under development, while those for the formulations were based on CIPAC methods as indicated in the specifications.

## Physiscal properties

The physical properties, the methods for testing them and the limits proposed for the WP formulation, comply with the requirements of the FAO/WHO Manual (March 2006 version of the first edition). One exception is that the material was subjected to 3 minutes wetting time instead of the 1 minute specified. The wetting time specification reported as part of the "BifenthrinWettable Powder" report for the FAO/WHO Specification was determined by the CIPAC method MT 53.3 (CIPAC Handbook F, p. 164). Bifenthrin 10 WP shall be completely wetted in 3 minutes without swirling. This value reflected the actual production values obtained from the Bifenthrin 10 WP 2003 campaign in Middleport, NY. The longer wetting time is probably due to the hydrophobic nature of the active ingredient, bifenthrin. Even though this value is higher than 1 minute, no adverse impact during application is expected. This product has been in commercial use for a decade without any significant performance issues.

## Containers and packaging

No special requirements for containers and packaging have been identified.

## **Expression of the active ingredient**

The bifenthrin is expressed as bifenthrin.

## **ANNEX 1**

## HAZARD SUMMARY PROVIDED BY THE PROPOSER

#### Notes:

- (i) The proposer confirmed that the toxicological and ecotoxicological data included in the summary below were derived from bifenthrin having impurity profiles similar to those referred to in the table above.
- (ii) The conclusions expressed in the summary below are those of the proposer, unless otherwise specified.

Table 3. Toxicology profile of bifenthrin technical material, based on acute toxicity, irritation and sensitization

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Result	Batch/Purity	Reference
Rat (M/F)	Oral	EPA 81-1 10% Corn oil. Single dose / 14 day observation 67, 55, 48, 44, 40 and 34 mg/kg b.w	LD <sub>50</sub> 55.5 mg/kg male 54.5 mg/kg combine 53.4 mg/kg female	E1276-140/ 92% d	A82-756
Mouse (M/F)	Oral	EPA 81-1 10% Corn oil. Single dose / 14 day observations 50.0, 42.0, 35.0 and 25.0 mg/kg b.w	LD <sub>50</sub> 43.5 mg/kg male 42.5 mg/kg female	E2425-145/ 91.4%	A83-837
Rat (M/F)	Oral	EPA 81-1 10% Corn oil. Single dose / 14 day observation males :20, 40, 60, 80, 90 or 100 mg/kg b.w females : 40, 60, 80 or 100 mg/kg b.w	LD <sub>50</sub> 70.1 mg/kg male 56.7 mg/kg combine 53.8 mg/kg female	151A/ 91.4% d	A83-859

Rat (M/F)	Oral	EPA 81-1, OECD 401 and EC method, part B1.14 day, undiluted, single dose, 14-day observation. males: 100, 150, 200 and 300 mg/kg b.w females: 75, 100, 200 or 300 mg/kg b.w	LD <sub>50</sub> 168.4 mg/kg male 186.1 mg/kg combined 210.4 mg/kg female	PL97-592/ 93.7%	A97-4681
Rabbit (M/F)	Dermal	EPA 81-2 14 day observations 24 hour exposure 2000 mg/kg	LD50> 2000 mg/kg	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1032
Rat (M/F)	Dermal	EPA 81-2 24 hour exposure 2000 mg/kg	LD50> 2000 mg/kg Practically non-toxic	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A85-1924
Rat (M/F)	Inhalation	OPPTS 870-1300, OECD 403, EC B2 Nose-only. 14 day observations 4 hour exposure 0.56, 0.99, and 2.3 mg/l.	LC50 1.10 mg/L males 1.01 mg/L combined 0.8 mg/L females	PL02-0477/ 94.8%	A2003-5589
Rabbit (M/F)	Primary Eye Irritation	EPA 81-4 48 hour observations; 0.1 mL administered	Unwashed / Washed – Practically non- irritating	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1034
Rabbit (M/F)	Primary Skin Irritation	EPA 81-5 4 hour exposure 0.5 ml (undiluted)	Non-irritating (PII = 0.0)	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1033
Guinea Pig (M)	Skin Sensitiz ation	Buehler method EPA 81-6	Non-sensitizing	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1035
Guinea Pig (F)	Skin Sensitiz ation	Maximization method OECD 406 and Method B6 (Directive 96/54/EEC)	Positive	PL02-0477/ 94.8% TFP anhydride content 35 g/kg	A2002-5588
Guinea Pig (F)	Skin Sensitiz ation	Maximization method OECD 406 and Method B6 (Directive 96/54/EEC)	Positive	G3042:140/ 96.2% TFP anhydride content 0.2 g/kg	A2009-6770

Table 4. Toxicology profile of technical bifenthrin based on repeated administration (subacute to chronic)

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Result	Batch/purity	Reference
Dog (M/F)	13-week feeding  – Repeated dose	Dir. 87/302/EEC, Part B Gelatine capsules 0, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0 and 20.0 mg/kg bodyweight/day	NOEL = 2.5 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-820
Rat (M/F)	28-day feeding – Repeated dose	Dietary Range-finding 0, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 400 ppm	Death at ≥ 300 ppm Tremors at 200 ppm NOEL = 100 ppm	E2425-145/ 91.4%	A83-817
Mouse (M/F)	28-day feeding – Repeated dose	Dietary Range-finding study A83-839 : 50 (500), 100, 200, 300 ppm study A83-839A : 500, 600, 750 and 1000 ppm	NOEL = 300 ppm LOEL = 500 ppm	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-839
Rat (M/F)	90-day Feeding - Repeated dose	Dietary Range-finding 0, 12, 50, 100 and 200 ppm	NOEL = 100 ppm	E2425-145/ 91.4%	A83-818
Dog (M/F)	1-year Feeding – Chronic	Dir. 87/302/EEC, Part B – chronic toxicity test Gelatin capsule 0, 0.75, 1.50, 3.00 and 5.00 mg/kg/day	NOEL = 1.50 mg/kg day LOEL = 3.0 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-821
Rat (M/F)	Chronic – Oncogenicity	EPA 83-5 2 year dietary 0, 12, 50, 100 and 200 ppm	NOEL = 50 ppm LOEL = 100 ppm Not carcinogenic	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-952
Mouse (M/F)	Chronic – Oncogenicity	EPA 83-2 18-month dietary 0 , 50, 200, 500 and 600 ppm	NOEL = 50 ppm male 200 ppm female Increased incidence of submucosal tumours (hemangiomas) of marginal statistical significance.	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-974
Rabbit (M/F)	21-day Dermal Toxicity (Repeated dose)	EPA 82-2 (1984) 6 hours / day 0, 25, 50, 100 or 500 mg/kg/day	NOEL = 100 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1041
Rat (M/F)	21-day Dermal Tox (Repeated dose)	EPA 82-2 (1984) 6 hours / day 5 days / week 0, 25, 50, 100, or 1000 mg/kg b.w./day	NOEL = 50 mg/kg/day	G1295-15B/ 93.2%	A2000-5162

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Result	Batch/purity	Reference
Rat (M)	Dermal Absorption	No guideline. Applied as a dilution of a liquid formulation Achieved average dose (mg/kg b.w.) Group I: 0.18; Group II: 1.96; Group III: 19.38	Dermal absorption 10-hours after administration of test material was 55.4% of the applied dose in animals dosed w/49.2 ug/10.8 sq. cm (4.6 ug/sq. cm)	<sup>14</sup> C-Study Lot 83022/ 95.49%	PC-0059
Rat (F)	Teratology	EPA - OPPTS 870.3700 Dietary 0, 30, 60, 90, or 200 ppm (equivalent to 0, 2.5, 5.0, 7.4, and 16.3 mg/kg b.w./day)	Maternal NOEL = 90 ppm (7.4 mg/kg/day)	PL99-0108/ 95.3%	A2000-5263
Rat (F)	Teratology	EPA 83.3 (1984) Days 6-15 of gestation 0 (vehicle), 0.50, 1.0 and 2.0 mg/kg/day Vehicle: corn oil	Not teratogenic at levels up to and including 2.0 mg/kg/day  NOEL = 1 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1091
Rabbit (F)	Teratology	EPA 83.3 (1984) Days 7-19 of gestation 0 (vehicle), 2.67, 4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg/day. Individual doses were adjusted daily in order to compensate for changes in maternal body weights Vehicle: corn oil	Not teratogenic at levels up to and including 8.0 mg/kg/day  NOEL = 2.67 mg/kg/day	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1092
Rat (M/F)	2-Generation Reproduction	Dietary 0, 30, 60, or 100 ppm (approximately equivalent to 0, 1.5, 3.0 and 5.0 mg/kg/day)	NOEL toxicity = 60 ppm (3 mg/kg/day); NOEL reproduction 100 ppm (5mg/kg/day)	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-977
Chicken (F)	Acute Delayed Neurotoxicity	21 day observation	Negative	E2392-105 88.35%	A83-1081
Rat (M/F)	Acute Neurotox	Undiluted (gavage), 14 day observation Single oral dose : 0, 10, 35 or 75 mg/kg	NOEL = 35 mg/kg  FOB and motor activity effects noted in animals receiving 75 mg/kg	PL97-592/ 93.7%	A97-4643

Species	Test	Duration and conditions or guideline adopted	Result	Batch/purity	Reference
Rat (M/F)	28-day Feeding – Repeated dose	Neurotoxicity study rangefinding 0 or 50 ppm (10 animals/sex/group) or 100, 200, or 300 ppm (5 animals/sex/group)	NOEL = 100 ppm LOEL = 200 ppm	PL97-592/ 93.7%	A97-4699
Rat (M/F)	Subchronic Neurotoxicity	13 weeks, dietary 0 or 50 ppm (10 animals/sex/group)or 100, 200, or 300 ppm (5 animals/sex/group)	NOEL = 50 ppm (2.9 mg/kg/day males; 3.7 mg/kg/day females)	PL97-592/ 93.7%	A97-4700

Table 5. Mutagenicity profile of technical bifenthrin based on *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests

Species	Test	Conditions	Result	Batch	Reference
Salmonella typhimurium	Ames Assay 0, 10, 33, 67, 100, 33, 667, 1000, 3.333, 6.667, 10.000 µg/plate in mutation test 1 0, 375, 1.875, 3.750 and 7.500 µg/plate in mutation test 2 (in both the presence and absence of S-9 mix)	5 Strains with and without metabolic activation. Microsomes from male and female Swiss Webster mice and male Sprague Dawley rats	Not mutagenic	E2425-145/ 91.4%	A83-838
Mouse Lymphoma Cells	Mouse Lymphoma Mutagenesis Assay 0.24, 0.18, 0.13, 0.10, 0.075, 0.056, 0.042, 0.032, 0.024, 0.018 μg/ml without metabolic activation. 0.10, 0.075, 0.056, 0.042, 0.032, 0.024, 0.018, 0.03, 0.010, 0.0075 μg/ml with metabolic activation	L5178Y TK+/- Rat liver S-9	Weak positive results with and without metabolic activation	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-978
Rat (M)	In vivo Cytogenetics 30, 10 and 3 mg/kg/day for five consecutive days	5 day exposure oral by gavage	Negative	E2392-105 88.35%	A83-979

Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) (F)	In vitro Chromosome Aberration 10.00, 30.00, 60.00 and 100.00 μg/ml in DMSO	Tested to 10,000 ug/mL with and without activation	Negative	E2392-105 88.35%	A1989-3099
CHO (F)	HGPRT Assay Test 1 : 2.5, 5.0, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, 1000 μg/mL Test 2 : 250, 500, 750, 1000 μg/ml (without S9), 20, 30, 40, 50μg/ml (with S9)	With and without metabolic activation	Inconclusive w/metabolic activation	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1144
CHO (F)	In vitro Gene Mutation Preliminary cytotoxicity test: range of 0.10 to 10.000 μg/ml Main study: 100, 500, 1.000, 2.500, 5.000, 10.000 μg/ml in acetone	Tested to 10,000 ug/mL with and without activation	Not mutagenic	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1105
Mouse Lymphoma Cells	HGPRT Gene Mutation Preliminary cytotoxicity test: 1, 5, 10, 30, 100 μg/ml Main study: 1.0, 30.0, and 60.00 μg/ml in acetone	L5178Y Dosed to the limit of solubility (500 µg/ml)	Not mutagenic	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A86-2059
Rat Hepatocytes	Unscheduled DNA Synthesis Initial cytotoxicity test: ten treatments ranging from 100 to 0.005 µg/ml UDS assay: 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 µg/mlin acetone	Tested at levels up to 100 ug/mL in DMSO	Marginally positive at one highly toxic dose. Two repeat assays yielded negative responses	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-985, A83-1043, 175408
Mouse EmbryoCells (BALB/3T3)	Cell Transformation	No metabolic activation 3 - 100 μg/mL in DMSO	Negative	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-980
Drosophila	Sex Linked Recessive Lethal – Genotox	Concentrations of 50 & 100 ug/mL	Negative	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A83-1104
Chinese Hamster (F) Ovary (CHO)	In vitro Sister Chromatid Exchange	With and without metabolic activation up to 60 ug/mL in DMSO	Negative	E2392-105/ 88.35%	A1989-3016

Table 6. Ecotoxicology profile of technical bifenthrin

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Daphnia magna (water flea)	OECD 202 Acute toxicity flow- through	48 hrs exposure in a flow-through system, to five concentrations of bifenthrin (10, 5.0, 2.5, 1.2 and 0.6 μg/L), control dilution water and solvent control dilution water (dimethyl formamide).	LC <sub>50</sub> : (24hrs) - >10 μg/L (48hrs) - 1.6 μg/L NOEC < 0.60 μg/L Bifenthrin technical (purity - 88.35%, composition - 98 % <i>cis</i> /2% <i>trans</i> isomer)	E-2392-105/	BW-83- 8-1444
Daphnia magna (water flea)	OECD 202 Acute toxicity flow-through	48 hrs exposure in a flow-through system, to five concentrations of bifenthrin (0.025, 0.064, 0.12, 0.2 and 0.48 µg/L), control dilution water and solvent control dilution water (dimethyl formamide).	LC <sub>50</sub> (24hrs) >0.48μg/L (48hrs) - 0.11μg/L NOEC < 0.025 μg/L purity - 88.35%, composition - 98 % <i>cis</i> /2% <i>trans</i> isomer)	Path 830222-142 ( <sup>14</sup> C-)	BW-85- 2-1731
Daphnia magna, Cerodaphnia dubia, Thamnocephales platyurus, Hexagenia sp. (larvae), Caddis fly sp. (larvae), and Gammarus pulex	OECD 202 Static acute toxicity tests	Daphnia: 48hrs exposure, concentrations: 0.018; 0.056; 0.18; 0.56, 1.8 & 5.6 mg/L  Cerodaphnia dubia: 24hrs exposure, concentrations: 0.056; 0.18; 0.56, 1.8 & 5.6 mg/L  Thamnocephales platyurus: 24hrs exposure, concentrations: 0.032; 0.056; 0.18; 0.56; 1.8 and 5.6 mg/L  Hexagenia sp: 48hrs exposure, concentrations: 0.056; 0.18; 0.56, 1.8 and 5.6 mg/L  Caddisfly sp: 48hrs exposure, concentrations: 0.056; 0.18; 0.56, 1.8 & 5.6 mg/L  Gammarus pulex: 48hrs exposure, concentrations: 0.0032; 0.01; 0.032; 0.1; 0.32 & 1.0 mg/L	Daphnia: $EC_{50}(48hrs)$ - 0.37 μg/L NOEC - 0.056 μg/L Cerodaphnia dubia $EC_{50}(24hrs)$ -0.31 μg/L NOEC - 0.043 μg/L NOEC - 0.043 μg/L Thamnocephales platyurus $EC_{50}(24hrs)$ - 5.7 μg/L NOEC - 0.032 μg/L $Hexagenia$ sp $EC_{50}(48hrs)$ - 0.39 μg/L NOEC - 0.039 μg/L NOEC - 0.031 μg/L $Caddis\ fly\ sp\ EC_{50}(48hrs)$ - 0.12 μg/L NOEC - 0.031 μg/L $Caddis\ fly\ sp\ EC_{50}(48hrs)$ - 0.11 μg/L NOEC - 0.032 μg/L $Caddis\ fly\ sp\ EC_{50}(48hrs)$ - 0.11 μg/L NOEC - 0.032 μg/L $Caddis\ fly\ sp\ EC_{50}(48hrs)$ - 0.11 μg/L NOEC - 0.032 μg/L Bifenthrin technical (purity 93.8%)	PL00-0082, Batch B00-07	01-2424/01

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Daphnia magna (water flea)	OECD 202 Chronic toxicity	Flow-through, 21-day life cycle toxicity test Groups of 40 daphnids (10 per replicate beaker) exposed to one of five nominal concentrations (0.6, 1.2, 2.5, 5.0 and 10 ng/L in water, to 50 μL/L acetone (solvent control) or to water alone.  Mean measured concentrations determined by liquid scintillation counting were 0.30, 0.76, 1.3, 2.9 and 7.6 ng/L.	MATC > 0.0013 < 0.0029 μg/L  NOEC - 0.0013 μg/L  14C-labelled bifenthrin (purity 96.2 %)	E2823-2 ( <sup>14</sup> C)	ABC36980
Mysidopsis bahia (mysid)	OECD 202 Life cycle toxicity	Flow-through, 28-day life cycle toxicity Groups of 40 mysids (5 per replicate test chamber) in 20% seawater, to 0.1 mL/L acetone (solvent control) or to seawater alone (control).  Nominal concentrations of bifenthrin were: 0.00, 0.79, 1.4, 2.8, 5.6 and 11.3 ng/L.  Mean measured concentrations were: 0.98 (control and solvent control), 1.2, 1.3, 1.6, 2.5 and 4.7 ng/L.	MATC - 0.00125μg/L  NOEC - 0.0012μg/L   14C-labelled bifenthrin technical (Phenyl-14C, purity 96.5 %)	Path 83022-142 ( <sup>14</sup> C)	A90-3318
Chlorella pyrenoidosa (green algae) Scenedesmus acutus (fresh water algae)	Acute toxicity	Two test species exposed to five concentrations of bifenthrin, ranging from 0.05 ppb to 50 ppm in 0.1% acetone. Culture medium according to guideline protocol, 25°C, continuous light, 100 µE/m²/s, 50 mL of medium in 125 mL.	Chlorella pyrenoidosa NOEC> 50 ppm Scenedesmus acutus NOEC> 10 ppm Bifenthrin (purity not specified)	Not recorded	A2010-6981
Chironomus riparius (midge)	Acute toxicity	14C bifenthrin applied just below the surface of an artificial water/sediment systems at the nominal concentration of 0, 0.1, 1, 10 and 100 μg/l in the rage finding test and 0, 0.1, 0.32, 1.0, 3.2 and 10 μg/l in the definitive test.  Six vessels per concentration prepared, appropriate controls - 2 for the measure of the concentrations and 4 containing 20 chironomid larvae.	Mortality: $EC_{50} - 3.96 \ \mu g/L$ NOEL - 0.32 \ \mu g/L $Emergence \ ratio:$ $EC_{50} - 3.96 \ \mu g/L$ NOEL - 1.06 \ \mu g/L $Development \ rate:$ $EC50>10.3 \ \Box g/L$ NOEL - 1.06 \ \mu g/L $Development \ rate:$ EC50>10.3 \ \mathrm{\text{\text{g}/L}}{\text{b}} Bifenthrin technical (purity 94.4%)	PL98-0360	19781

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Eisenia foetida (Earthworm)	Acute toxicity	Four replicates of 10 worms per treatment; total of 240 worms.  Doses: 0.12 kg a.i./ha and100x (12 kg a.i./ha On days 7 and 14, worms removed for counting.		E2392-105	FCC82/85693
Apis mellifera (honeybee)	Acute contact & oral toxicity	Acute contact toxicity: sprayed 20 mL solution containing bifenthrin at 50 ppm on a lot of caged bees. Two lots were tested for the product and two lots served as control. Mortality was noted after 24 hrs.  Acute oral toxicity: ingestion was tested by nourishment of lots of bees by means of a micropipette at a constant volume (20μL). The bees were presented a drop of sweet water containing fixed quantities of bifenthrin. One hr after treatment the bees received honey and pure water. Three doses (0.04 μg, 0.1 μg and 0.4 μg) and one control have been tested and mortality was noted after 24 hrs.		Not recorded	Ph.L. SD-519-84
Poecilus cupreus (carabid beetle)	Assessment of impact	Six adults per container. 60 g/ha dose of bifenthrin, the reference (PERFEKTHION (0.85 l/ha) and the control (water and acetone) were applied to 5 replicate test arenas (volume 400 l/ha). Mortality and food consumption were assessed.	Bifenthrin - 90% mortality at the application dose of 60 g/ha. Bifenthrin technical (purity 94.4%)	PL-98-0360	19547
Thyphlodromus pyri (predatory mite)	Assessment of impact	Bifenthrin: 60 g/ha, the reference (PERFEKTHION (212.5 ml/ha) and the control (water and acetone) were applied on glass plates (volume 200 l/ha) and were allow to dry.  Twenty 2 to 3-day old mites (protonymph stage) were placed in each arenas per treatment.  Mortality was assessed 24 hrs and 7 days after introduction.  Seven day after application, female and male mites were transferred and the fecundity was assessed by counting the number of eggs laid after a further 7-day period.	Bifenthrin-100% mortality at the application dose of 60 g/ha Bifenthrin technical (purity 94.4%)	PL-98-0360	19141

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Chrysoperla carnea (green lacewing)	Assessment of impact	Bifenthrin: 60 g/ha, the reference (PERFECTKION (212.5 ml/ha) and the control (water and acetone) were applied on glass plates (volume 200 l/ha) and were allowed to dry.  One larvae of <i>Chrysoperla carnea</i> was then placed on each glass plate and fed with lepidopteran eggs. The mortality was assessed daily.  When pupation had occurred pupae were removed and placed in perspex oviposition cages. Adult emergence was measured daily until no adult had emerged for 7 consecutive days.	Bifenthrin - 100% at the application dose of 60 g/ha  Bifenthrin technical; (purity 94.4%)	PL-98-0360	19572
Aphidius rhopalosiphi (aphid parasitoid)	Assessment of impact	Bifenthrin: 60 g/ha, the reference (PERFEKTHION (425 ml/ha) and the control (water and acetone) were applied to 8 glass plates (volume 200 l/ha) and were allow to dry.  Ten Aphidius rhopalosiphi (5 males and 5 females) were introduced into each test arena	Bifenthrin -100% mortality.  Bifenthrin technical; (purity 94.4%)	PL-98-0360	19545
Salmo gairdneri (Rainbow trout)	EEC Method C1 Acute toxicity flow-through	Rainbow trout exposed in duplicate test chambers in a flow-through system to five concentrations for 96 hrs. Test nominal concentrations of 1.5, 0.75, 0.38, 0.19 and 0.094 µg/L bifenthrin maintained by introducing approx. 7 aquarium volumes/day of fresh test solution via modified, proportional diluter apparatus. Total hardness: CaCO <sub>3</sub> of 28-30 mg/L, alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> of 24 mg/L, pH 7.2-7.4, specific conductance range of 130-140 µmhos/cm.	LC <sub>50</sub> (24 hrs) - 6.2 μg/L (48 hrs) - 0.34 μg/L (72 hrs) - 0.20 μg/L (96 hrs) - 0.15 μg/L (120 hrs) ~0.1 μg/L NOEC - 0.094 μg/L Bifenthrin technical (purity 88.35% composition 98% <i>cis</i> / 2% <i>trans</i> isomer)	E2392-105	BW-83-8- 1446
Sewage sludge	Assessment of impact	Determined the effect of the test item to the respiration rate of activated sludge. The respiration was measured after a contact time of 3 hrs.  Two controls without test item were included in the test design.  Due to the low water solubility, bifenthrin was added in the mg range.	EC <sub>50</sub> - >1900 mg/L.  Bifenthrin (purity 97.8%)	E6788:143	E-17-99- 47

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Lepomis macrochirus (Bluegill sunfish)	EEC Method C1 Acute toxicity flow-through	Bluegill exposed in duplicate test chambers in a flow-through system to five concentrations for 96 hrs. Test nominal concentrations of 1.0, 0.65, 0.42, 0.27 and 0,18µg/L bifenthrin maintained by introducing approx. 8.6 aquarium volumes/day of fresh test solution. Test dilution water conditions were representative of a soft water quality. Total hardness: CaCO <sub>3</sub> of 28-31 mg/L, alkalinity as CaCO <sub>3</sub> of 24-26 mg/L, pH 7.2-7.4, specific conductance range of 120-140 µmhos/cm.	(24 hrs) >1.0µg/L (48 hrs) - 0.65µg/L (72 hrs) - 0.44µg/L	E2392-105	BW-83-8 1445
Salmo gairdneri (Rainbow trout)	OECD 210 Toxicity to embryos and larvae	Flow-through with nominal test concentrations were 0.070, 0.035, 0.018, 0.0088 and 0.0044 µg/l. Unfertilised rainbow trout eggs and sperm were received individually and mixed for fertilization. 50 embryos were distributed to each of 28 incubation cups.  Embryos development was observed daily. Percentage hatch calculations were based on the number of live larvae per cup after hatching compared to the number of viable embryos per cup on test day 20.  To initiate the 48-hrs larvae exposure, incubation cups within each aquarium were combined on day 28, and the larvae were placed into their respective aquaria. Behaviour and appearance of larvae were observed daily and larvae were counted twice weekly. At 48 days post hatch (30 days post swim- up), the larvae were anaesthetised, and percentage survival, mean total length, and average wet weight were determined.	1 3	14C Path 830222- 142	BW-85-4- 1766

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)]	Batch	Reference
			(purity)		
Pimephales promela) (Fathead minnow)	EPA 72.5 Full life cycle toxicity	Flow –through full life cycle study with nominal water concentrations of 0.0050, 0.0090, 0.019, 0.038, 0.075 µg/l.  140 healthy embryos per test concentration used at the start of the test, and place in incubation cups.  Number of embryos hatched in each cup recorded daily until hatching completed. Daily observations taken on eggs, embryos, and fry.  Day 30, survival and standard length of live fish determined.  Day 77, fish reduced to 15 fish per replicate. At 92 and 120 days post hatch fry anesthetised, measure weighted and revived in their respective test chambers.  At 121 days post hatching, 20 fish randomly selected and placed in duplicate spawning chambers of each aquarium along with 5 spawning tiles. All other fish were retained in growth chamber or frozen for residue analysis.  At 150 and 151 days post hatching, fish were sexed and reduced to 5 males and 12 females in all spawning chambers.  On day 198 post hatching (study day 204), fish were reduced to 4 males and 6 females. Tiles were checked for the presence of eggs. Eggs were then placed in a separate container of the appropriate test solution.  Spawns of < 50 eggs were removed from the tiles and frozen for residue analysis. Spawn of > 50 eggs were placed into a growth chamber.  Daily observations were done on eggs, embryos and fry.  On day 56 post-hatching, the fish were measured and weighted. A part was frozen.	NOEC > 0.09 μg/l (reproduction)  14C-FMC bifenthrin (96.2% purity)	14C E2823-2	A86-2100

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Lepomis macrochirus (Bluegill sunfish)	OECD 305 E Bio- accumulation	Lepomis macrochirus were exposed to 2 concentrations (0.007 μg/L and 0.085 μg/L) of <sup>14</sup> C-radiolabeled bifenthrin for 60 days to aqueous solutions of bifenthrin under semi- static conditions with a 2-day renewal interval. The depuration phase was performed for 60 days under flow-through conditions, resulting in a total in-life assessment phase of 120 days.  Semi-static, two days renewal interval, four replicates per test group, twenty fish per replicate, aeration to prevent oxygen depletion, addition of application solution between renewal days in order to compensate for uptake by fish and adsorption to test vessel walls, daily feeding.  Depuration period: Flow-through, started with 4 replicates per test group, with 13 fish per replicate, 50 L stainless steel vessels, 10 volume exchanges per day.  Sampling: Water samples were taken twice daily in order to determine the total radioactive residue in the test solutions by LSC during the uptake phase and the first 8 days of the depuration phase. The samples were quantified for <sup>14</sup> C-residues, extracted with hexane and analysed for bifenthrin and degradation products.  Fish samples were taken on days 7, 15, 28, 39, 48, and 60 after start of the exposure. During the depuration phase, fish samples were taken on days 1, 2, 4, 8, 14, 30, 42 and 60 after start of the depuration phase, i.e. on days 61, 62, 64, 68, 74, 90, 102, and 120 after start of the exposure.  Water conditions: temperature ranged 19.9-24.0 °C, total hardness of 0-56 mg/L as CaCO3, total alkalinity of 327-700 mg/L as CaCO3, TOC <2 mg/L, pH of 7.37-8.46, specific conductivity of 420-800 μS/cm, and dissolved oxygen concentration of 7.92-9.97 mg/L.	The concentrations in whole fish increased relatively fast at both treatment levels during the first 28 days of exposure. Thereafter, steady state was reached. 7.9 and 168.8 ± 25.5 µg TRR/kg.  During the depuration period, the concentrations of the total radioactive residue in whole fish declined with time. The whole fish BCF based on the total radioactive residue at steady state, i.e., the BCFSS, was 1,494 ± 229 at 0.007 µga.i./L and 1,622 ± 218 at 0.085 µg a.i./L.  The bifenthrin BCFSS of whole fish was 1,362 ± 219 at 0.007 µg a.i./L and 1,414 ± 204 at 0.085 µg a.i./L whole fish. The depuration rate constants for whole fish were 0.024 day-1 for both treatment levels  The elimination DT50 for bifenthrin was 28 and 22 days for the 0.007 and 0.085 µg a.i./L treatment levels, respectively.  Phenyl-ring labelled <sup>14</sup> C- bifenthrin radiochemical purity 97.8 %).	14C QFC14435	1084.008.135

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Cyprinus carpio (carp)	OECD 305 C Bio- accumulation	Two groups of fish, continuously exposed to nominal concentrations (high exposure level: 0.085 ng/mL, low exposure level: 0.0085 ng/mL) of the test substance.  Flow-through system which introduced about 400L of fresh test solution per day. On week 10, the addition of the test substance was terminated and only dilution water was supplied during the next two weeks (depuration phase).  Concentrations of the test substance in water and whole fish were measured periodically.	Bioconcentration factor Exposure - 0.085ng/mL: 1330x Exposure- 0.0085ng/mL:1030x Elimination Exposure -0.085ng/mL: 11 days (50%) Exposure -0.0085ng/mL: 6 days (50%)  14C- bifenthrin (FMC (FMC- U¹4Clabeled) radiochemical purity 97 %)	<sup>14</sup> C Isotope 195	2B479G
Bobwhite quail	Acute oral toxicity	60 quails, divided (5/sex) into a control group + 5 treatment groups: 464, 681, 1000, 1470 and 2150 mg/kg.  Orally administered via a syringe on test day 0. The control group received only corn oil. Body weight and food consumption were recorded at days 0, 3, 7, 14 and 21. Observations made daily.  All birds found dead + 4 arbitrarily selected birds sacrificed from each group on test days 21 were subjected to a gross necropsy.	LD <sub>50</sub> -1800 mg/kg bw bifenthrin (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	BLAL83- QD 30
Mallard duck	None stated Acute oral toxicity	30 ducks, divided (5/sex) into a control group and 2 treatment groups: 1470 and 2150 mg/kg.  Orally administered via a syringe on test day  0. The control group received only corn oil. BWand food consumption were recorded at days 0 (only body weight), 3, 7,14 and 21. Observations made daily. Four arbitrarily selected birds sacrificed on test day 21 were subjected to a gross necropsy.	LD <sub>50</sub> >2150 mg/kg bw  Bifenthrin (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	BLAL 83-DD 23

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Bobwhite quail	OECD 205 Short-term (8- day) dietary study	150 quails, divided into 5 vehicle control groups (10/group; corn oil), 5 positive control groups (10/group, using 10, 21.5, 46.4 68.1 and 100 ppm of dieldrin) and 5 treatment groups (10/group; using 312, 625, 1250, 2500 and 5000 ppm of bifenthrin). Test material incorporated into the diet with corn oil and fed to the birds for 5 days. Following the 5-day test period birds were maintained on plain feed for a 3-day recovery period. Food consumption recorded through-out the study Birds weighed at 0 hr on day 1 and again on day 8. Observations made daily. All birds found dead and 4 arbitrarily selected birds from each group sacrificed at the termination of the study were subjected to a gross necropsy.	bifenthrin technical (purity 88.35%) $LC_{50} - 4450 \text{ ppm for}$ $bifenthrin technical (purity 88.35%)$ $LC_{50} - 23 \text{ ppm for dieldrin}$	E2392-105	BLAL83- QC34
Mallard duck	Short-term (8-day) dietary study	150 ducks, divided into 5 vehicle control groups (10/group; corn oil), 5 positive control groups (10/group, using 46.4, 68.1, 100, 147 and 215 ppm of dieldrin) and 5 treatment groups (10/group; using 312, 625, 1250, 2500 and 5000 ppm of bifenthrin technical).  Test material incorporated into the diet with corn oil and fed to the birds for 5 days.  Following the 5-day test period birds were maintained on plain feed for a 3-day recovery period.  Food consumption recorded throughout the study Birds weighed at 0 hr on day 1 and again on day 8.  Observations made daily.  All birds found dead and 4 arbitrarily selected birds from each group sacrificed at the termination of the study were subjected to a gross necropsy.	LC50 - 1280 ppm for bifenthrin technical (purity 88.35%) LC <sub>50</sub> - 64 ppm for dieldrin	E2392-105	BLAL83- DC34

Species	Test	Duration and conditions	Result [(isomer/form)] (purity)	Batch	Reference
Bobwhite quail	OECD 206 Reproduction	Dietary effects quail, 3 groups of 20 replicates (1 male and 1 female per replicate) Dose levels of 25, 50 and 75 ppm. Diet given over a 24-week period – 12 weeks prior to the start of egg production and 12 weeks during egg production. All eggs laid were collected over a 12-week period from the beginning of week 13 until the end of week 24.	No evidence of any adverse effects on the reproduction. Bifenthrin (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	FCC57A/ 851423
Mallard duck	OECD 206 Reproduction	Dietary effects quail, 3 groups of 6 replicates (2 male and 5 females per replicate Dose levels of 25, 50 and 75 ppm. Diet given over a 24-week period – 12 weeks prior to the start of egg production and 12 weeks during egg production. All eggs laid were collected over a 12-week period from the beginning of week 13 until the end of week 24.	No evidence of any adverse effects on the reproduction. Bifenthrin (purity 88.35%)	E2392-105	FCC58A/ 851430

# ANNEX 2

# **REFERENCES**

FMC or other document number	Year	Title
19141	2001	Technical bifenthrin: an extended laboratory evaluation of the side effects of technical bifenthrin on the predatory mite <i>Thyphlodromus pyrii</i>
19545	2001	Technical bifenthrin: an extended laboratory evaluation of the side effects of technical bifenthrin on the aphid parasitoid <i>Aphidius rhopalosiphi</i>
19547	2001	Technical bifenthrin: an extended laboratory evaluation of the side effects of technical bifenthrin on the carabid beetle <i>Poecilius cupreus</i>
19572	2001	Technical bifenthrin: an extended laboratory evaluation of the side effects of technical bifenthrin on the green lacewing <i>Chrysoperla carnea</i>
19781	2002	<sup>14</sup> C-bifenthrin:determination of acute toxicity (EC50) to <i>Chironomus riparius</i> (28 days, static)
175408	1990	Unscheduled DNA Synthesis in Primary Hepatocytes of Male Rats <i>in vitro</i> with bifenthrin
01-2424/01	2002	Static acute toxicity tests with the insecticide bifenthrin technical and 6 arthropod species
1084.008.135	2006	Bifenthrin:Bioconcentration study with bluegill sunfish ( <i>Lepomis macrochirus</i> ) under semi-static conditions
2B479G	1993	Bioaccumulation study of FMC 54800 with carp (Cyprinus carpio)
A1989-3016	1989	Sister Chromatid Exchange Assay in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells <i>in vitro</i> with bifenthrin
A1989-3099	1989	Gene Mutation Assay in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells in vitro with bifenthrin
A2000-5162	2000	Bifenthrin technical: 21 day repeated dose dermal toxicity study in rat
A2000-5263	2000	Bifenthrin technical: Prenatal Developmental Toxicity Study in Rat
A2002-5588	2003	Bifenthrin Technical: Contact Hypersensitivity in Albino Guinea-Pigs, Maximisation Test
A2003-5589	2003	Acute nose-only inhalation toxicity study of bifenthrin technical in albino rats
A2009-6770	2009	(Bifenthrin) Guinea Pig Sensitization - Maximization Test
A2010-6981	1985	Bifenthrin toxicity to algae
A82-756	1982	Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats, FMC 54800
A83-1032	1983	Acute Dermal Toxicity of FMC 54800 Technical in Rabbits.
A83-1033	1983	Primary Skin Irritation of FMC 54800 Technical in Rabbits.
A83-1034	1983	Primary Eye Irritation of FMC 54800 Technical in Rabbits.
A83-1035	1983	Skin Sensitization of FMC 54800, Technical in Guinea Pigs.
A83-1041	1983	(Bifenthrin) Guinea Pig Sensitization - Maximization Test
A83-1043	1983	Unscheduled DNA Synthesis in Rat Primary Hepatocytes
A83-1081	1984	The Acute Oral Toxicity (LD <sub>50</sub> ) and Neurotoxic Effects of FMC 54800 Technical to the Domestic Hen
A83-1091	1984	Teratology Study in Rats with FMC 54800 Technical
A83-1092	1984	Multi-Generation Reproduction Study with FMC 54800 Technical in Rats.
A83-1104	1984	Mutagenicity Evaluation of FMC 54800 Technical, Notebook No. E-3292-105, FMC Study No. A83/1104 in the Sex-Linked Recessive Lethal Test in <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>
A83-1105	1984	Chromosome Aberrations in Chinese Hamster (CHO) Cells
A83-1144	1984	CHO/HGPRT Mutation Assay in the Presence and Absence of Exogenous Metabolic Activation
A83-817	1983	Twenty Eight Day Range Finding in Rats with FMC 54800 Technical
A83-818	1983	Ninety Day Range Finding in Rats with FMC 54800 Technical
A83-820	1984	13-Week Sub-chronic Oral Toxicity Study in Dogs with FMC 54800 Technical

A83-821	1985	52-Week Chronic Oral Toxicity in Dogs
A83-837	1983	Acute Oral Toxicity of FMC 54800 in Mice
A83-838	1983	Salmonella/Mammalian-Microsome Plate Incorporation Mutagenicity
		Assay (AMES Test)
A83-839	1983	Twenty Eight Day Range Finding in Mice with FMC 54800 Technical
A83-952	1986	Combined chronic oral toxicity and oncogenicity study of FMC
		54800: 2-year feeding study in albino rats
A83-959	1983	Acute Oral Toxicity of FMC 54800 in Rat
A83-974	1991	FMC 54800 Technical – Oncogenicity Lifetime Feeding Study in
		Albino Mice Histopathological Review of Selected Sections of Liver,
		Lung and Urinary Bladder
A83-977	1986	Multi-Generation Reproduction Study with FMC 54800 Technical in
		Rats.
A83-978	1983	L5178Y TH+/- Mouse Lymphoma Mutagenisis Assay
A83-979	1983	Activity of FMC 54800 technical in the sub-chronic <i>in vivo</i>
		cytogenetics assay in Sprague-Dawley rats
A83-980	1983	Activity of FMC 54800 technical in the Morphological Transformation
		of BALB/3T3 Mouse Embryo Cells in the Absence of Metabolic
		Activation
A83-985	1983	Unscheduled DNA Synthesis in Rat Primary Hepatocytes
A85-1923	1986	Acute Intraperitoneal Toxicity of FMC 54800 Technical in Rats
A85-1924	1985	Acute Dermal Toxicity of FMC 54800 Technical in Rats.
A86-2059	1986	Study to Determine the Ability of FMC 54800 to Induce Mutations to
7.00 _000		6-Thioguanine Resistance in Mouse Lymphoma L5178Y Cells Using
		a Fluctuation Assay
A86-2100	1988	Full life cycle toxicity of <sup>14</sup> C-FMC 54800 to fathead minnow
7.00 = 1.00		( <i>Pimephales promelas</i> ) in a flow-through system
A90-3318	1991	Life cycle toxicity of bifenthrin (FMC 54800) to the mysid, <i>Mysidopsis</i>
7.00 00.0		bahia
A97-4643	1998	FMC 54800 Technical-Acute Neurotoxicity in Rats
A97-4681	1997	FMC 54800 technical: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats
A97-4699	1998	FMC 54800 Technical-Twenty-Eight Day Neurotoxicity Range-
7107 1000	1000	Finding Study in Rats
A97-4700	1998	FMC 54800 Technical – Subchronic Neurotoxicity Screen in Rats
ABC 34846	1988	Full life cycle toxicity of <sup>14</sup> C FMC 54800 to fathead minnow
7.50 0 10 10	1000	( <i>Pimephales promelas</i> ) in a flow-through system
ABC 36980	1989	Chronic Toxicity of <sup>14</sup> C-FMC 54800 to <i>Daphnia magna</i> under flow-
7.20 00000	1000	through test conditions
BLAL83DC34	1983	8-day dietary LC50 study with FMC 54800 technical in mallard
BL/ (LOOD OO )	1000	ducklings
BLAL83DD23	1983	Acute oral toxicity study with FMC 54800 technical in mallard
BL/ (LOODB 20	1000	ducklings
BLAL83QC34	1983	8-day dietary LC50 study with FMC 54800 technical in bobwhite
BL/ (Loog Co )	1000	quail
BLAL83QD30	1983	Acute oral toxicity study with FMC 54800 technical in bobwhite quail
BW-83-8-1444	1983	Acute Toxicity of FMC 54800 technical to Daphnia magna
BW-83-8-1445	1983	Acute Toxicity of FMC 54800 technical to bluegill ( <i>Lepomis</i>
DVV-03-0-1443	1303	macrochirus)
BW-83-8-1446	1983	Acute Toxicity of FMC 54800 technical to rainbow trout (Salmo
DVV-03-0-1440	1903	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DW 05 0 1701	100E	gairdneri)
BW-85-2-1731	1985	Acute toxicity of <sup>14</sup> C-FMC 54800 to <i>Daphnia magna</i> under flow-
DW 05 0 4747	4005	through conditions
BW-85-3-1747	1985	The chronic toxicity of <sup>14</sup> C-FMC 54800 to <i>Daphnia magna</i> under flow
DW 05 4 4700	4005	through conditions
BW-85-4-1766	1985	The toxicity of <sup>14</sup> C-FMC 54800 to rainbow trout ( <i>Salmo gairdneri</i> )
00D 00 4	4000	embryos and larvae
CGP-83-1	1983	Vapor Pressure of FMC 54800
E-17-99-47	1999	Effect of bifenthrin to sewage sludge
FCC57A/851423	1986	The effects of dietary inclusion of FMC 54800 on the reproduction in
	1.5.	the bobwhite quail
FCC58A/851430	1986	The effects of dietary inclusion of FMC 54800 on the reproduction in
		the mallard duck

FCC82/85693	1985	The acute toxicity (LC50) of FMC 54800 to the earthworm <i>Eisenia</i> foetida
na	1985	Bifenthrin toxicity to algae
P-0698	1983	Octanol water partition coefficient of FMC 54800
P-0701	1983	Hydrolysis of bifenthrin
P-17-99-45	1999	Water solubility of bifenthrin
P-2544	1991	Bifenthrin: physical and chemical characteristics
P-3837	2006	Photodegradation of Bifenthrin in Buffered Aqueous Solution at pH 7 by Simulated Sunlight
PC-0059	1986	A Dermal Absorption Study in Rats with <sup>14</sup> C-FMC 54800.
Ph.L-SD-519-84	1984	Preliminary ecotoxicological tests of FMC 54800 on Apis mellifera